## TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

PLANNING BOARD MEETING

1 Heady Street
Cortlandt Manor, New York 10567
 September 5, 2023
6:30 p.m. - 8:23 p.m.

## MEMBERS PRESENT:

Steven Kessler, Chairman

Thomas A. Bianchi, Vice-Chairman

David Douglas, Member

Kevin Kobasa, Member

Peter McKinley, Member

## ALSO PRESENT:

Chris Kehoe, AICP, Director of Planning
Michael Cunningham, Deputy Town Attorney
Joseph Fusillo, P.E., Planning Board Engineer

1	September 5, 2023
2	(The board meeting commenced at 6:30 p.m.)
3	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
4	flag of the United States of America and to the
5	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
6	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
7	all.
8	MR. STEVEN KESSLER: Thank you. Chris,
9	roll please.
10	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Mr. Kobasa?
11	MR. KEVIN KOBASA: Here.
12	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Hildinger?
13	MS. NORA HILDINGER: Here.
14	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kessler?
15	MR. KESSLER: Here.
16	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Bianchi?
17	MR. THOMAS BIANCHI: Here.
18	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Douglas?
19	MR. DAVID DOUGLAS: Here.
20	MR. KEHOE: Mr. McKinley?
21	MR. PETER MCKINLEY: Here.
22	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Rothfeder noted as
23	absent.
24	MR. KESSLER: Thank you. we have no

	Page 4
1	September 5, 2023
2	changes to the agenda this evening. Can I please
3	have a motion to adopt the minutes of the meeting
4	of July 25th?
5	MR. KOBASA: So moved.
6	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
7	MR. MCKINLEY: Second.
8	MS. HILDINGER: Second.
9	MR. KESSLER: On the question. All in
10	favor?
11	MULTIPLE: Aye.
12	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Alright. Onto our
13	business. First item is resolutions. We have the
14	application of Pomona Development for preliminary
15	plat approval and for steep slope wetland and
16	tree removal permits for a proposed three-lot
17	major subdivision of an approximately 16.78 acre
18	parcel of property located on the south side of
19	Revolutionary Road, 500 feet south of Eaton Lane.
20	Drawings revised April 26th, 2023. Doug?
21	MR. KEHOE: David?
22	MR. DOUGLAS: Yeah. There is a
23	resolution that's been circulated and I move that
24	we adopt that resolution.

1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. KESSLER: Second please.
3	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
4	MR. KOBASA: Second.
5	UNIDENIFIED FEMALE: Can you speak up a
6	little?
7	MR. DOUGLAS: Is my mic working?
8	MR. KEHOE: Yeah.
9	MR. BIANCHI: Now it is.
10	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. Well, that's, that's
11	the problem.
12	MR. KESSLER: Okay. So we have the
13	motion to adopt resolution, approving resolution
14	9-23. Did I have a second?
15	MR. KOBASA: Second.
16	MR. KESSLER: And on the question?
17	MR. KEHOE: Just on the question, it's
18	an application for preliminary approval. The
19	applicant will be required to get final approval.
20	There are 11 conditions that need to be met, as
21	your engineer knows. The, the main one is to get
22	the health department to sign off before you can
23	come back for final approval.
24	MR. KESSLER: Thank, thank you. So we're

	Page
1	September 5, 2023
2	on the question, all in favor?
3	MULTIPLE: Aye.
4	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Okay. Motion
5	passes. Thank you.
6	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Thank you very much.
7	MR. KESSLER: Thank you.
8	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: That was easy.
9	MR. KESSLER: Next item on the agenda is
10	the application of Bilal Ahmad for the property
11	of Ace Sport Realty Holdings Corporation for site
12	development plan approval, and for steep slope
13	tree removal and wetland permits for a proposed
14	five-story 93 room hotel for property located at
15	2054 East Main Street, drawings revised July
16	19th, 2023. Mr. Steinmetz, good evening.
17	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Good Evening, Mr.
18	Chairman, members of the board.
19	MR. KESSLER: So we have a resolution
20	prepared, but before we get to it, I think we'd
21	be remiss if we, if we didn't address a lot of
22	the issues. I know a lot of people are here
23	tonight about this. We received your petitions
24	and I think we should discuss the items that were

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

raised in those petitions, so that everybody's comfortable with, well, hopefully comfortable, but with what the, the responses are to those issues. So I, I've made a list of them and, and I think we should take them one by one.

The first item raised in the in the positions was about the traffic island at the end of Jacob Hill Road abutting Route 6. And as we've discussed at the previous meetings, there will be revisions made to that island. That'll be in conjunction with the town and the Department of Transportation, the State Department of Transportation, to make sure that the entrance and the egress from the site is clearly delineated so that people don't go up the wrong road in the wrong direction. So that is part of what is being approved here, hopefully this evening. There's also an issue about a light on, putting a light up on Jacob Hill Road. I think that was one of the issues raised, or potentially putting a light on Jacob Hill Road at the entrance to the hotel. We, as a board and town staff do not think that is advisable to do that.

2.3

MR. KEHOE: The, the traffic volumes don't warrant it. And, and I'm just the planner, Joe. you know, I know you're not a traffic engineer either, but I believe I'm correct.

MR. JOE: You're, you're correct, Chris.

MR. STEINMETZ: And the, and the applicant's traffic engineer reviewed it, and it would not meet the state required mathematical analysis that warrants traffic lights.

MR. KESSLER: Understood. Thank you,
David. Third issue was one of the sight distance
coming out of the out of the site, out of the
hotel. There is a regulation in the town that
there has to be a minimum of 200 feet of sight
distance, which this applicant meets in terms of
coming out of that site. So there's, as long as
it's consistent with the town code, we see no
issue in terms of sight lines being insufficient.

The other issue, which has come up many times at the past meetings, is an alternative entrance onto the site from the Bear Mountain Parkway. As we've discussed at the previous meetings, there is significant difference in the

2.3

2.4

September 5, 2023

gradient of the Bear Mountain Parkway to the site. There is a wetland that would need to be crossed, but ultimately it's a DOT issue, and Chris, if you could fill us in on the DOT's thinking on this.

MR. KEHOE: Well it's mainly going after the applicants are going off what the applicant's traffic consultant said, but I have no reason to disagree with that. These limited parkways, they won't allow another access point off of that to serve the hotel.

MR. STEINMETZ: That, that's correct. In addition to which the DOT favors having the hotel exit to a signaled intersection that is a full movement signaled intersection on a state highway, Route 6. So, DOT is well aware of it, we're well aware of it. Mr. Chairman, you indicated the wetlands and the grade change, and even if we wanted to go out that way, I don't think we would be able to physically.

MR. KESSLER: Thank you. The other issues raised were the hours of operation of the restaurant and the bar. You want to address that,

2 David?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

MR. STEINMETZ: Yes. I, I think we submitted some information to staff on this, but the, evening hours are 5:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. There's a hard stop and a lockup of any alcohol at 10:00 p.m. This is, this is not a, much as maybe some would want it, this is not a destination bar for folks to go to. This is more of a convenience for resident, for folks who may be staying as guests in the hotel. It's not an elaborate setup, nor is the restaurant. The restaurant's a little bit more of a bistro. It's not a full service menu. With Pike Plaza next door with restaurants and, and my understanding is there is a bar that is open in the Pike Plaza later than 10:00 o'clock p.m., presumably that's where someone in town would otherwise go.

MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Next issue is the issue of offsite parking. We are approving this, or the resolution and the applicant has set aside 92?

MR. STEINMETZ: Ninety-three.

MR. KESSLER: Nineth-three?

1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. STEINMETZ: Where's Matt, 93?
3	Ninety-three.
4	MR. KESSLER: What, What's the number?
5	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, 93 spaces, and that's
6	actually short, so they need a variance, but they
7	went to the zoning board, and the variance allows
8	for greater landscaping interior to the parking
9	lot.
10	MR. STEINMETZ: Correct.
11	MR. KESSLER: And just for the record,
12	the town code requires how many?
13	MR. KEHOE: A hundred.
14	MR. STEINMETZ: I think it's a hundred
15	on the button, yes.
16	MR. KESSLER: A hundred, so variance of
17	
18	MR. STEINMETZ: Seven, seven out of the
19	hundred
20	MR. KESSLER: which will be done by
21	the zoning board?
22	MR. KEHOE: Right.
23	MR. KESSLER: The Zoning Board of
24	Appeals.

2.3

MR. KEHOE: The zoning board had a public hearing, considered it. They can't vote on it until you complete your process, but they indicated their support for the variance.

MR. STEINMETZ: We received a straw poll consent to that. But as Chris has indicated, we did not, we could not get a vote until your board adopted a SEQRA conclusion and a resolution.

MR. KESSLER: Right. So the, the thinking here is that the 92, 93 parking spaces should be sufficient for the hotel guests.

MR. STEINMETZ: Not only has it been concluded by our client that it's sufficient, it's also been concluded by the Marriott chain that it is sufficient. Marriott is not permitting this hotel to open if they think there's insufficient parking, insufficient landscaping, insufficient lighting, insufficient security.

MR. KESSLER: Thank you. And speaking of security, the next issue, thank you, David, for that, so as, as part of our public hearing there was discussions about the security, and there'll be cameras at all the entrances as well as I

	Page 1.
1	September 5, 2023
2	think in the parking lot as well?
3	MR. STEINMETZ: Correct. And all the
4	doors leading from the back of the building in
5	the parking lot are obviously locked doors and no
6	one could get in without, without a hotel key.
7	MR. KESSLER: There, there was also a,
8	an issue about you know, potential of housing
9	immigrants in the, in the hotel. Chris as, as
10	part of this resolution, you've included a
11	reference to the town code as it regards that?
12	MR. KEHOE: Well, we have a, we have a
13	town code section, I think that was really
14	developed in the context of Airbnbs trying to
15	limit extended stays. Mr. Cunningham could
16	probably speak to it better than I could, but
17	you're not allowed, by the town code to stay, I
18	think it's more than 180 days.
19	MR. MICHAEL CUNNINGHAM: 180 days is
20	correct.
21	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Let them all put
22	next door to you.
23	MR. KESSLER: No, Please, please.
24	[applause] So 180 days is

1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: But, but 180 days in the
3	code, 180 days has to do with if you were burned
4	out of your house and you had to stay in a hotel,
5	or if you had some personal difficulty and you
6	had to stay in a hotel.
7	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: You said
8	immigrants.
9	MR. KEHOE: You said immigrants.
10	MR. KESSLER: No, the
11	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I didn't say it.
12	MR. KEHOE: Well, the applicant in their
13	petition has expressed concerned about immigrants
14	staying in the hotel.
15	MR. KESSLER: Right.
16	MR. STEINMETZ: Not the applicant.
17	That's not the applicant.
18	MR. KEHOE: Sorry. Sorry.
19	MR. STEINMETZ: Please, please be sorry.
20	MR. KEHOE: Yes.
21	MR. STEINMETZ: Because this hotel is
22	open to folks of any race, nationality, religion.
23	I mean, come on. Don't, don't, don't throw that
24	at the applicant.

MR. KEHOE: The neighbors have raised the issue of immigrants.

MR. KESSLER: Yes, as part of the petition, there was an issue that was raised. And so again, so while the town code doesn't specifically address what, quote unquote immigrants, it does talk about how long people can stay what, what an extended stay is in terms of a hotel, and that, as we said, is 180 days. Okay, thanks.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: And the, nobody in the town, no board has any power to enforce federal immigration law or any sort of social services as well. The section 61 and 62 of social services law, the county actually enforces any social services, so if you have any questions about homelessness or anything, you have to direct those to the county.

MR. STEINMETZ: And last item, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, your counsel will, can confirm this if he wishes, you don't have jurisdiction to regulate the user of real property.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Right.

MR. STEINMETZ: You have jurisdiction to regulate the use of real property.

MR. KESSLER: Exactly right.

MR. STEINMETZ: And we're here tonight to get a hotel approved.

MR. KESSLER: Exactly right. Exactly right. Um, yeah. So, you know, to, to David's point, you know, whether a business is successful or not is not anything that we take into account. You know, and that can go for, you know, the smallest business to the biggest business in town. You know, whether it's, you know, a Best Buy, which, you know, and which disappeared or whether it's a hotel or whether it's a nail salon. It just doesn't matter. If it's allowed to be at -- if it, it complies with the town code and the area is zoned for that type of, of use, that's all we approve here. So a hotel is allowed on that property. We've done a, we think our due diligence here in examining all the environmental issues that relate to that hotel. And, and that's what we're here tonight to possibly vote on. You

1	September 5, 2023
2	know, whether it's successful, as I said, whether
3	it's successful or not is not anything that we,
4	we can concern ourselves with.
5	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: You know it will
6	be successful.
7	MR. BIANCHI: And I'd like to add to
8	that
9	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Why a hotel? Why a
10	hotel, there's nothing why are we
11	MR. BIANCHI: This is not a public
12	this is not a public hearing, ma'am.
13	MR. KESSLER: It's a
14	MR. BIANCHI: I'd like to add to that,
15	that, and it's in the resolution that this is a
16	recommended use by the Envision 2016, is it?
17	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, the master plan.
18	MR. BIANCHI: Envision, town of
19	Cortlandt master plan. So it's in keeping with
20	what the town wants in this area. And we've
21	included that in the resolution.
22	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: The town doesn't
23	even know about it.
24	MR. KESSLER: And, and let me just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

note something anecdotally, you know, I, I've been talking to people around the town and, you know, we, we have a, a golf club Hollowbrook, that holds events nearly every weekend throughout the year. They have very limited places where they tell people to go. And, and the, and the golf club itself, you know, can, has told me when I spoke to them, that they could easily fill up the rooms you know, 20, 30 rooms any weekend because of weddings and, and events and confirmations and things that take place at, at the Hollowbrook as part of their structure. It's not just the golf club. I mean, most of their money is made through events. And also, I was told that, there is a -- that hotel could also easily, accommodate people from West Point, that you know, there isn't a lot of places for people who go to West Point, and a lot of people go to West Point. There's a hotel Thayer there, there's another hotel across the river there that's not, not very well regarded. So there is also a need for people from West Point to have a place to stay also for events and football games and

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2 graduations and things.

MR. STEINMETZ: Yes.

MR. KESSLER: So you know, to say there's no need, again, not within our purview. I'm, and I'm, I'm just saying though, that there is evidence that, you know, you know, people have commented, you know, the hotel, you know, it's not a destination and, and who wants to come to Cortlandt? There's clearly a need for, you know, this type of facility in the town. And as we said, it's allowed, you know, you know, swim or sink, it's, you know, we'll see how it goes with the applicant. But again, not, not my issue, not our issue here as, as part of the board. So I, I think that addresses all the issues that were raised in the petition, any, any further comments from the board before we entertain a motion?

MR. BIANCHI: I have one. At the last meeting there was a, a memo from the architectural advisory board, I guess it is, about the facade facing the parkway. Did we receive anything further?

MR. KEHOE: That hasn't been resolved.

1	September 5, 2023
2	That's still a condition of approval
3	MR. STEINMETZ: Conditions.
4	MR. BIANCHI: conditions in the
5	resolution?
6	MR. KEHOE: Yes, which is not out of the
7	ordinary. The ARC will meet with the applicant
8	and finalize the details.
9	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. As long as it's in
10	the resolution.
11	MR. STEINMETZ: It's been addressed in
12	the resolution.
13	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Question?
14	MR. KESSLER: Well, it's not a public
15	hearing. A point of information I'll entertain.
16	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: There's an old
17	ShopRite and an old [unintelligible] [00:15:05].
18	Why didn't you move over there? Why does it have
19	to be right over here, by Jacobs Hill?
20	MR. KESSLER: We, we don't determine
21	what goes where. An applicant comes to us with a
22	proposal of what they want to put, where they
23	want to put it. You know, if it, if a hotel was
24	allowed where ShopRite is, then

1	September 5, 2023
2	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Where the old
3	ShopRite, there's two empty buildings, they could
4	have put it over there.
5	MR. KESSLER: Well, again, again, you
6	know, that's the, the applicant. I, I don't know.
7	the applicant chose this site. They thought it
8	was ideal for them, and that's what we reviewed.
9	And, you know
10	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Did they have
11	anything to do with the gas station they're just
12	building?
13	MR. KESSLER: Did they have anything to
14	do with the gas station? Not that I'm
15	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: yes, the hotel.
16	MR. KESSLER: Not, that I'm aware of,
17	but I don't know.
18	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Wow, what a
19	[unintelligible] [00:15:50]
20	MR. KESSLER: Well, yes, sir.
21	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Oh, Gosh.
22	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Point of order,
23	MR. KESSLER: Sure.
24	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I think I saw in the

1	September 5, 2023
2	study that there's 107 spaces at the hotel, not
3	93, as I just understood earlier. I'm not sure
4	which it is.
5	MR. KESSLER: It's 93.
6	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: For security
7	coverage.
8	MR. KESSLER: It's, it's 93. We are
9	approving 93 parking spaces, five of which are
10	EV?
11	MR. STEINMETZ: Five of which, yes.
12	MR. KEHOE: Six.
13	MR. STEINMETZ: Six.
14	MR. KEHOE: Six.
15	MR. STEINMETZ: Excuse me, it's six,
16	yes.
17	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So if there's a
18	conference, what about these people that are
19	coming in, in excess of the 93 rooms?
20	MR. STEINMETZ: So we said this last
21	time, Mr. Chairman, I'll say it again. This is
22	not a conference center oriented hotel. There is
23	not a large conference center room. There may be
24	some small continuing legal education course or

1	September 5, 2023
2	something of that nature. This is not a
3	conference center hotel.
4	MR. KESSLER: Well, what's, what's a
5	typical size of a conference room?
6	MR. STEINMETZ: Anybody help me on, on
7	the size of our, we, we, I think we concluded
8	last time
9	MR. SINSABAUGH: I think 20 by 10. It,
10	it was like 20 by 40, 20 by 30.
11	MR. STEINMETZ: I, Brian says 20 by 10.
12	I think it was rather small, smaller than this
13	room significantly.
14	MR. KESSLER: Right, okay.
15	MR. STEINMETZ: And, and Mr. Ahmad is on
16	if you need an answer to that, but you have that
17	in the plans. Chris, you may know the answer
18	better than I. That, that's probably in the plan
19	set that was submitted by the architect.
20	MR. KEHOE: The, the conference
21	room in the hotel is not large enough to house a
22	conference
23	MR. STEINMETZ: Thank you.
24	MR. KEHOE: that would significantly
	II

1	September 5, 2023
2	impact parking.
3	MR. STEINMETZ: That's all we're saying.
4	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Thank you.
5	Appreciate it. Okay. So any, again any, any more
6	comments from the board? Mr. Kobasa? All right. I
7	
8	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Just, I just make
9	a statement. You said before that no one can
10	determine whether a business is successful or
11	whether it fails. Is Mr. Ahmad ready to take
12	failure if that happens on his own back?
13	MR. KESSLER: He's a businessman.
14	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Okay. So he will,
15	he will absorb the failure, then. He will not do
16	anything else to make money.
17	MR. KESSLER: I, I don't know. I don't,
18	I don't understand your question, honestly.
19	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: You won't bring
20	the illegals in to make money from the federal
21	government. He's going to absorb the loss inside,
22	given the hotel beds.
23	MR. KESSLER: Yep. How, when's this
24	hotel going to, well, we're talking, what, two

1	September 5, 2023
2	years down the road before we see a hotel here?
3	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Well what do we do
4	about it?
5	MR. STEINMETZ: Correct.
6	MR. KESSLER: Two years.
7	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: How you know about
8	it?
9	MR. KESSLER: A lot happens in two
10	years.
11	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: [unintelligible]
12	[00:18:22]
13	MR. KESSLER: Yes.
14	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Does anybody here
15	live in Cortlandt? Does anybody on the board
16	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Every, every single
17	person
18	MR. STEINMETZ: Steve, you've got
19	MR. CUNNINGHAM: on the board lives
20	in Cortlandt.
21	MR. STEINMETZ: [unintelligible]
22	[00:18:29]
23	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Every person on the
24	board lives in Cortlandt.

1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. KESSLER: We can't be on the board
3	if we don't live in Cortlandt. All right. This is
4	getting a little crazy la last, last question.
5	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I'm sorry, Steve. I
6	just want to ask, is this configured as an
7	extended state motel and that means cooking
8	facilities in the room?
9	MR. STEINMETZ: No.
10	MR. KESSLER: No.
11	MR. STEINMETZ: No, that's
12	MR. KESSLER: No.
13	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So this is a short
14	term facility?
15	MR. KESSLER: It's, it's a, it's a
16	traditional Marriott, traditional Marriott hotel.
17	MR. KOBASA: All right, I would like to
18	make a motion to adopt resolution.
19	MR. KESSLER: Please, Please, please, we
20	please.
21	MR. KOBASA: I would like to make a
22	motion to adopt resolution 10-23 as modified.
23	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
24	MR. KOBASA: We'd like to add two

1	September 5, 2023
2	conditions.
3	MR. KESSLER: Oh, I'm sorry, finish.
4	MR. KOBASA: We'd like to add two
5	conditions, condition 25, add a crosswalk to Pike
6	Plaza if agreeable to DES and condition 26, hours
7	of construction shall not or shall meet town code
8	chapter 197 and all other applicable sections.
9	MR. KEHOE: Correct.
10	MR. KESSLER: Okay. So with those two
11	additions to the resolution, can I have a second?
12	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
13	MR. KESSLER: Second, thank you. All in
14	favor?
15	MULTIPLE: Aye.
16	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Okay, thank you.
17	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Now when is this
18	supposed to start? Do we know when?
19	MR. KESSLER: Well, the, there are a
20	number of conditions in the resolution that have
21	to be met before they can start construction. And
22	that typically, Chris, help me out takes
23	MR. KEHOE: It's, it's unknown, but
24	there are 25 conditions in the resolution that

1	September 5, 2023
2	need to be met. They need to do more detailed
3	engineering and design work. I don't know. It
4	could start in six months. It could start in a
5	year. I don't know. It's unknown.
6	MR. KESSLER: Yeah. Certainly not sooner
7	than six months?
8	MR. KEHOE: Correct.
9	MR. KESSLER: At, at best. Yes, ma'am?
10	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Just
11	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Can I ask why the
12	resolution hasn't been made public? Like, why we
13	can't have a copy of it? Even as mentioned.
14	MR. KEHOE: The, the resolution's a
15	document for the planning board. It'll be, it'll
16	be it now it can be made available.
17	MR. KESSLER: It'll be made available on
18	the website now that it's been adopted.
19	MR. STEINMETZ: Just
20	MR. KESSLER: Sure.
21	MR. STEINMETZ: Steve, the board should
22	state for the record that you built your SEQRA
23	determination into this resolution. So you are
24	adopting a neg dec and your site plan resolution.

September 5, 2023
I don't want the public to think that after
everything you've done, you ignored concluding
the SECRA process.
MR. KEHOE: Yeah. I that is every
community does it differently, but there are
sections of that resolution that determine that
your environmental review was sufficient and
you've analyzed all environmental impacts and
have determined that this project will have no
significant adverse environmental impacts and
have adapted a negative declaration requiring
that no environmental impact statement is
required.
MR. KESSLER: Right. And no remediation.
MR. STEINMETZ: Thank you, Chris.
MR. KESSLER: Thank you.
MR. STEINMETZ: You're welcome.
MR. KESSLER: Alright. Well, thank you,
okay. Moving on, next time is a public hearing.
It's the
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: [unintelligible]
[00:21:34].
MR. KESSLER: it's the application of

1 September 5, 2023 NK Electric. 2 [CROSSTALK] 3 4 MR. CUNNINGHAM: You're going have to 5 get another minute. MR. KESSLER: Well, those who want to 6 7 leave. Alright. But please, we want to continue the meeting, so if you want to continue 8 9 discussions outside, please. Next item is the 10 application of NK Electric for site development 11 plan approval, and a special permit for a specialty trade electrical contractor located in 12 13 an existing building at 465 Yorktown Road. Latest 14 revised drawings, July 19th, 2023. Good evening. 15 MR. JIM ANNICCHIARICO: Jim 16 Annicciarico with Cronin Engineering representing 17 Mr. Nick Kalyvas, NK electric --18 Microphone, they can't --MR. KESSLER: 19 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Sorry. So I think we 20 really only have one last issue that we need to 21 address. And that's the letter that the DEP or 22 one of the conditions, or not condition, but one 23 of the items that the DEP brought up about the 24 existing septic system being able to drive over

	Th
1	Page 3 September 5, 2023
2	it and whatnot.
3	MR. KESSLER: Parking on the septic
4	system.
5	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Parking on it, yeah,
6	I mean, we're, really not parking on the septic
7	system itself. It would be driving over a portion
8	of it.
9	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
10	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: There was never
11	really any records of what the septic system was
12	through the health department. They didn't have
13	any as-builts, so what we did was we uncovered it
14	and we've as-builted it onto the plan that on
15	that latest, I think Chris, maybe, is that the
16	latest plan that shows
17	MR. KEHOE: Keep Going. I'll find it.
18	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: You zoom in, maybe.
19	So the, the system consists of a tank and two
20	other tanks, which appear to be just seepage
21	pits, you know, tanks with bottoms empty you
22	know, with bottomless tanks basically.
23	MR. KESSLER: Is this, is this a July
24	revision or is this something later?

	Page 3
1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Something I just
3	submitted to Chris recently.
4	MR. KESSLER: Oh, okay.
5	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So you haven't seen
6	it.
7	MR. KESSLER: Yeah, we have not seen it.
8	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right.
9	MR. KESSLER: That's exactly right.
10	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: But, and as part of
11	
12	MR. KESSLER: So you have to, so you,
13	you, you think you've resolved the issue, the
14	concerns of the DOT and now
15	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: DEP.
16	MR. KESSLER: DEP, I'm sorry. And you
17	have to present that to them?
18	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yes.
19	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
20	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: What we've done was
21	we've it didn't, there was no access to the
22	tank or the seepage pits themselves, there were
23	no the covers were buried. So what we've done
24	is we've raised up the access, we put castings

1	September 5, 2023
2	on, so we'll have manhole covers that access the
3	tank. Two on the tank, one on each end, one on
4	each seepage pit.
5	MR. KESSLER: Alright. Well, we're
6	certainly going to adjourn this pending the
7	resolution of that. But this is a public hearing.
8	Is there anybody in the audience that wishes to
9	comment on this application at this time? Okay,
10	seeing no response, so Kevin.
11	MR. KOBASA: All right. I'd like to make
12	a motion to adjourn, but have staff prepare a
13	resolution for the October meeting.
14	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
15	MS. HILDINGER: Second.
16	MR. DOUGLAS: Second.
17	MR. KESSLER: On the question.
18	MR. BIANCHI: On the question. would we
19	want prepare a resolution?
20	MR. KESSLER: He said that, he said
21	that.
22	MR. BIANCHI: Oh, he did? I didn't hear
23	him, sorry.
24	MR. KESSLER: All right, we're on the

	Daga 2
1	Page 3 September 5, 2023
2	question, all in favor?
3	MULTIPLE: Aye.
4	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Okay.
5	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Thank you.
6	MR. KESSLER: See you next meeting.
7	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: See you next
8	meeting.
9	MR. KESSLER: On to old business, the
10	application of Jennie Thomas of JJM Summit Realty
11	for amended site plan approval for a proposed
12	964-square foot building edition to an existing
13	dental office located at 1 Jerome Drive. Drawings
14	dated July 28th, 2023. Mr. Lentini, good evening.
15	MR. JOHN LENTINI: Good evening. Mr.
16	Chairman, members of the board and staff from
17	John Tini, architect for the doctor and his wife,
18	Dr. Marcus and Jenny. Last year, we were referred
19	to the variance board. We had a hearing, I
20	believe it went favorably but there was some
21	glitch with noticing. So, they didn't approve us
22	at that meeting, but I have every reason to
23	believe we will be approved at the next meeting.
24	MR. KEHOE: Well, John, they can't

1

September 5, 2023

2

approve you. It's similar to the hotel, until the planning board completes their process.

4

3

MR. LENTINI: Right.

5

MR. KEHOE: But the zoning board has indicated their support for your variance.

7

6

ed their support for your variance.

MR. LENTINI: That's what I meant to

./

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

say. In any event this extension, I just wanted to explain how we arrived at the bulk of the development. It, to -- to quote a phrase of Louis Sullivan, an architect, the form follows function. And we've created this to meet all the needs of the doctor and the space he requires. We kept the orientation of the building. And it's a little odd that it's crooked and that was part of our hardship to the variance board so that it, it still remains the same orientation. We wanted to conceal the stark contrast between our addition and the existing building, which is largely residential from Route Six. However, we maintain a residential appearance from Jerome Avenue. The colors we're picking are the existing building,

2.3

2.4

and then shades of that color where the windows

are going to be featured. And they also are a

2.3

2.4

function of, they're going to be just opposite operatories. And above the window, a little darker and below the window, and then the parapet is going be lighter, which would've, even if it was the same color, it would look lighter, because it's higher and it's projecting.

And we'd have to come back with a sign application. I don't have the sign. I'm not sure they even have the name settled yet. I originally called it Gentle Care Pediatric Dentistry. That was their old business.

But in any event the landscaping, which was an issue I, I took drone pictures. I don't know if you could show them on, on the screen -- one of the overall sites. Yeah, that one. Um, if you could see to the left of that view, that's half of our, let me see, where are we? Well, again, to the left of the view is the town center. And you can see how dense the landscaping is there. We're not doing anything to touch that. There's an assortment of trees. To the right bottom of the view, we have of several plants. We have [unintelligible] [00:27:58] that, that

2.3

2.4

September 5, 2023

border it. And into the rear of the view, the top of the page, there are like four mature trees that we're not touching that provide a certain amount of screening already from the, the residential neighborhood. I'm not proposing to include any more landscaping, because when we're finished taking down these shrubs in front of the building, the lawn that's left isn't that extensive. In fact, some of the shrubs that are in front of the building, I'm told are invasive species. One of the more colorful one is barberry and it should be taken down anyway, I'm told.

But essentially it's a very straightforward design. And we're available to, to address any comments that anybody might have.

MR. KESSLER: Mr. Lentini, you're aware that the architectural review, you know, which we referred this to took a look at your proposal and they were not enamored, let's say, with the design.

MR. KEHOE: Yeah, John, I, I mentioned to the board and, and I was a little surprised that I hadn't heard from you. So I'm not, I I'm

1 September 5, 2023 2 not exactly sure I sent that to you. I apologize. But recently the ARC commented that they had 3 concerns with the elevations. 4 5 MR. LENTINI: Okay. I didn't see it. It might be also that I didn't see it. You might 6 7 have sent it, but I get 50 emails before lunch. MR. KEHOE: Right. But we talked about 8 9 it at the work session. And you know, the ARC 10 would be willing to meet with you to discuss. 11 MR. LENTINI: Certainly, yeah. 12 MR. KEHOE: But that would be the next 13 step, is that the planning board received the ARC 14 comments, and I apologize if you didn't get them, but they would like to talk to you about 15 16 modifying the building elevations. 17 MR. LENTINI: I'll modify them within 18 the extent of our approvals and setbacks and 19 needs. You know, there's very little we could do 20 to the bulk of it. But in the windows, if they 21 have a problem with too many or too few, or --22 MR. KEHOE: Do you think you could turn 2.3 around the revised building elevation in the next

24

couple weeks?

September	5,	2023

MR. LENTINI: Well, I think what I did,
I'm standing behind. I have a purpose for
everything I did there. I spent a lot of time
looking at it. And my, I have a 3-D program that
allows me to sit in a car and look at it, to walk
down the street and look it.

MR. KEHOE: Okay. Because the reason I'm asking is I don't think there'll be significant public comment on this application. So if there's a way that you can meet with the ARC and, and revise something quickly, I would have no opposition to a public hearing in October to move it along. I thought we were not needing a public hearing the last time we were here.

MR. KEHOE: Oh.

MR. LENTINI: Mr. Cunningham, I think you said a public hearing wasn't required.

MR. KEHOE: That, that, rings a bell.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: It's a, it's a minor side plan amendment. I don't, I don't think we actually need a public hearing.

MR. KEHOE: All right, so that's fine.

## Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

1	September 5, 2023
2	We'll just come back after you meet with the ARC.
3	MR. KESSLER: Okay. So, so what who
4	contacts whom?
5	MR. KEHOE: I'll I, I, I'll set it up
6	with John.
7	MR. LENTINI: Okay.
8	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
9	MR. KEHOE: It'll be my responsibility.
10	MR. LENTINI: I'm available. I'm not
11	that busy these days.
12	MR. DOUGLAS: Mr. Lentini, just, I've
13	got the ARC memo in front of me and it's just so
14	you, if you haven't seen it yet, the, the areas
15	they're concerned with is the flat roof, the
16	fascia and synthetic stucco. Those are the three
17	elements that they have concerned with.
18	MR. LENTINI: The flat roof.
19	MR. DOUGLAS: That's what it says.
20	MR. LENTINI: Well, again, that's why I
21	oriented it the way I did that. It doesn't look
22	like a flat roof from the residential side. And
23	then the commercial boulevard there, all of
0.4	

Kohl's and, you know, the bank and the, the

24

1	September 5, 2023
2	Dunkin Donuts, they're all flat roofs. I mean, we
3	want to look like we're part of the commercial
4	strip.
5	MR. CUNNINGHAM: So John, you may be
6	able to convince the ARC when you meet with them.
7	So I think the next step will be for Chris to set
8	up a meeting with you and the ARC.
9	MR. LENTINI: I will be delighted to do
10	that.
11	MR. KESSLER: Okay, Mr. McKinley?
12	MR. MCKINLEY: Okay. I'd like to make a,
13	excuse me, I'd like to make a motion to refer
14	back to staff for the review of the application
15	of PB 2023-2 of the existing dental office.
16	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
17	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
18	MR. KESSLER: On the question. All in
19	favor?
20	MULTIPLE: Aye.
21	MR. KESSLER: Opposed?
22	MR. KEHOE: So John, I'll be in touch in
23	the next day or two.
24	MR. LENTINI: Thank you very much. Thank

September 5, 2023 you.

MR. KESSLER: Alright, next item under old business, the application of VS Construction for preliminary and final plat approval for a six lot subdivision, Five building lots for property located at 2003 Compond Road, drawings dated July 18, 2023. Mr. Steinmetz, good evening again.

MR. STEINMETZ: Good Evening, Mr.

Chairman, members of the board, David Steinmetz

from the law firm of Zarin and Steinmetz here

representing VS Construction, once again in

connection with Evergreen Manor and the MOD, the

medical oriented district. I'm going try to be

brief and hand it off to colleagues on the team.

I'm joined tonight by my associate Brian

Sinsabaugh, by Matt Steinberg and Peter Gregory

from DPT Provident Engineering and Planning, as

well as by Val and Mandy Santucci.

As we tried to explain last meeting, we have filed with you at this point a preliminary subdivision application. The preliminary subdivision application is designed to take this 28-acre parcel and divide it into lots. Those

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

lots ultimately will be developed in accordance with the MOD. And in fact, in the intervening six weeks, we have sent you some conceptual site plans of what we believe ultimately will be perfected and filed as site plan applications. One being an assisted living, an independent living residence for upwards to 120 units on parcel one or the first lot on the left, the other being 99 fee simple townhouse lots. And I say 99 townhouse lots because the town MOD code provision requires that those townhouses not be sold in the condominium form of ownership, but they be sold in the fee simple form of ownership. It's a technicality. I don't want to take you too far into it, other than to remind you we can only create fee simple lots by filing a subdivision in front of your board.

So tonight, we're here, because as you discussed at your work session, it is in the applicant's interest to have different lots. Why? Different developers may develop different portions of the property. And the applicant has every legal right under New York State law and

September 5, 2023

2

under your town code to begin to process and chop

4

3

5 6

up the property into lots.

7

State Town Law Section 276, this is a two-part

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

So tonight we have an application in front of you for five development lots and a sixth parcel or a road parcel. Under New York process. The first part is preliminary subdivision approval. The second part is final subdivision approval. As you all discussed in your work session, in between the two, we have to go to the Westchester County Health Department

and have them review our utilities, et cetera.

So that's why, knowing we have quite a bit of time down in White Plains with the Health Department, we're trying to get a jump on the creation of the lots. So we're here tonight to present to you our subdivision. The subdivision, and I think this responds, Mr. Bianchi, maybe to one of your questions in the work session, the subdivision has the lots, the drainage, the utilities, the road, and the things associated with the road. What the subdivision doesn't have is exactly what's going to go on lott one, what's

September 5, 2023

going to go on lot two, three, four, and five.

-

So tonight I'm going to turn it over to

Matt Steinberg to walk us through the preliminary plat that you have in front of you, the road network that we've got, or the road that we've got, the utilities and where they are and why they're there. And we would then, at the culmination of tonight, we're happy to answer questions and discuss this, we would simply like to open at the earliest possible date, if possible in October, a preliminary subdivision public hearing. New York State Town Law Section 276 and your own town code requires a mandatory public hearing on the subdivision. So we want to do that.

Last comment from me, as the chair reminded the board during the work session, you have already, you, the town, the town of Cortlandt has already been through the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act process. For over four years, this project and its various components have been studied, analyzed, and mitigated. We got a finding statement last year.

1 September 5, 2023 We're now kind of on the final lap of this 2 approval process. 3 MR. BIANCHI: Mr. Steinmetz, just as 4 5 your colleagues talk about the application -- the subdivision I should say, I'd like to hear the 6 7 rationale as to why they chose the configuration that we have in front of us. 8 9 MR. STEINMETZ: Absolutely. 10 MR. BIANCHI: A little bit more, because 11 I, to me, I, I don't know why it's that way. 12 MR. STEINMETZ: Some of it, Tom, 13 honestly, is purely contractual. Remember, Val 14 and Mandy started this five, six years ago and 15 entered into contracts with purchasers who wanted 16 to buy certain portions of the property. So when 17 people contract to buy a certain portion of the 18 property and there are descriptions or 19 illustrations of it, that's what you have to sell 20 them. 21 MR. BIANCHI: Okav. MR. STEINMETZ: And it will behoove us 22 23 to make sure that when we file our site plans, 24 they work within the confines of those lots,

2.3

2.4

because you've already told us and we didn't need to be told, they have to work within the confines of those lots. Otherwise we either have to reduce the scope of the site plans or modify the lot lines.

MR. BIANCHI: Okay.

MR. STEINMETZ: So that's the easiest answer Tom, is contractually, I got a 6.03 acre lot on the front left. I got a 5.46 lot behind it and I got a six acre lot behind that. And that's all contractually driven.

MR. BIANCHI: So those are previously drawn lines is what you're saying --

MR. STEINMETZ: In effect, yes.

MR. BIANCHI: -- contractual lines?

MR. STEINMETZ: Because this is tabula rasa. I got 28 acres that I can paint lines on and process, so the lines are dictated by the Santuccis, not by the planning board. They have to meet code. Your staff will make sure I meet lot area, I have adequate frontage and the lots function, but I can do the lot, I have 28 acres. I can chop the lots up the way I want to for

1	September 5, 2023
2	market, financing and development purposes.
3	MR. BIANCHI: Alright, but what you're
4	saying, I just want to be clear that these lot
5	lines preexisted this application. Is that what
6	you're saying?
7	MR. STEINMETZ: Yes. Yes.
8	MR. BIANCHI: There are contracts in
9	place somewhere that show
10	MR. STEINMETZ: They're either are or
11	the
12	MR. BIANCHI: this lot line
13	subdivision the way it's presented to us?
14	MR. STEINMETZ: They're either are or
15	were contracts in place. And those lines evolved
16	over time.
17	MR. KESSLER: So they were conceptual up
18	to this point?
19	MR. STEINMETZ: Absolutely. But, but
20	conceptual
21	MR. KESSLER: And now you want to
22	formalize them?
23	MR. STEINMETZ: Correct. They were
24	but I want, when I don't, I don't want anyone on

2.3

the board to think that they were willy-nilly conceptual. A lot of time and a ton of money has been spent on making sure those lots made some sense. So the size and the location of the lots have all been as, as staff ought to be able to tell you, analyzed in the DEIS -- analyzed for for functionality, storm water, visibility, fiscal impacts. All of these things are built into the DEIS, the FEIS and the findings statement, so.

MR. KESSLER: When the town board reviewed this, the MOD, they did not see any of the lot lines?

MR. STEINMETZ: Totally saw all of the lot Lines.

MR. KESSLER: Oh, they did? So they, they saw what you are proposing here?

MR. STEINMETZ: Yeah, It's, it's evolved. We've been through multiple iterations as a result of the town board changing its desires, but they've definitely seen an earlier iteration as this evolved. This, this last one is probably what culminated after the town adopted

1	September 5, 2023
2	their final approval and then made some changes
3	in the final week before adopting the MOD. I made
4	mention of the fee simple townhouses. That was
5	something that arose at the final moments of
6	approval. There's a 100-foot setback buffer or
7	buffer from the Tamarack side of the development.
8	That too was a legislative change in the
9	culmination of the MOD and SEQRA process.
10	So answering your question, Mr.
11	Chairman, the lot lines are essentially what they
12	were, but they definitely had to respond to the
13	town board's wishes.
14	MR. BIANCHI: The last question I
15	just
16	MR. MCKINLEY: I've got one more.
17	MR. BIANCHI: Just one more, and maybe
18	it's a question for staff, but can we change the
19	lot lines if we feel that they're not
20	appropriate? Are we in a position not to change
21	them? We can't change them or can we change them?
22	MR. STEINMETZ: So, I'm happy to answer
23	if, or whatever you want.
24	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, I mean, in short,

1	September 5, 2023
2	yes. You still have review authority over
3	whatever they're proposing.
4	MR. STEINMETZ: Yeah.
5	MR. KEHOE: But you're, but once, once
6	the subdivision gets perfected, you've created
7	the six or seven lots.
8	MR. BIANCHI: I understand that, but
9	it's
10	MR. KEHOE: So then it's harder to
11	change that.
12	MR. BIANCHI: But I'm talking about now
13	though, I mean during this process we're
14	reviewing this site plan and subdivision plan, I
15	should say. Do we have a flexibility to change
16	any of these lot lines?
17	MR. KEHOE: Well, when you're talking
18	lot lines, are you talking the pink lines? Only
19	the pink lines?
20	MR. BIANCHI: I think those are the lot
21	lines, right?
22	MR. STEINMETZ: Right, yes.
23	MR. BIANCHI: Yes.
24	MR. KEHOE: Yeah.

2

1

MR. BIANCHI: Yes, the answer is --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. STEINMETZ: So I'm going to answer the question as definitively as I can. Under section 276 of the New York State Town Law, this board has the authority to a, grant approval, b, deny or c, modify. So if you had, Tom, a legitimate reason why my 6.03 acre parcel one for the assisted living has to be five or seven acres, you're going to have to have a defensible reason to reject my 6.03. But, and I said to you in July, I am going to say it again, I got a team of consultants, as well as buyers who are pretty sure that the lot lines that we're showing you work for a variety of reasons. The ball and the burden is on this team. And give us a chance during the process to explain that. Having said that, and I don't want to go too far, although I'd love staff to follow and maybe help explain it. I don't have to have users, I don't have to have uses. I do. But I could come in here and say, I have 28 lot -- 28 acres, I want to market it. You folks don't know how to market real estate. Val Santucci does. And he's determined

2.3

2 this is the best way to market it.

All your code tells me is they have to have certain minimum bulk requirements. Tom, I could draw those lines and not tell you anything about my uses. I'm not doing that. But I, I want to answer you intellectually, Tom. I could do that. You would have, in my legal professional opinion, unquestionably no legal basis to deny me.

MR. BIANCHI: Okay.

MR. STEINMETZ: You could watch me crash and burn and as the chair told me, be unsuccessful in a land use application if I wanted to. But I can chop up real estate any way, as long as it's in accordance with law.

MR. BIANCHI: I, I understand that. But I, I'm just saying that, I'm not saying we're going to do that or I'm going to do that. But I I just think that there's a lot of high density usage in certain of the lots and not in the elders. And I'm, I just want to know what my options are.

MR. STEINMETZ: Understood.

September 5, 2
----------------

MR. BIANCHI: That's all.

MR. STEINMETZ: Okay.

MR. BIANCHI: That's all.

MR. STEINMETZ: Got it. Got it.

MR. KESSLER: So I think what Tom's getting to, you have, here 99 townhouses proposed conceptually. Let's, let's keep, we don't have an application yet, we just have the lot -- a subdivision application, we don't know what's going on them except conceptually with what you've given us. If, if we thought there needed to be a reconfiguration of those, the lot lines would not prohibit that from being done.

MR. STEINMETZ: No. The only -- no, and I, and I hope during this process, since we, we were I think good enough to share the conceptual stuff with you as early as possible, if there's something that's troubling you in terms of layout, density, wetland setback, all of that is -- DTS Provident has spent months and months, maybe years analyzing this. The SEQRA process has already been concluded and the SEQRA process revealed --

2

MR. KESSLER: I understand.

4 5

1

3

about this general 11ish, 12ish acre section of the site. So I don't know how you would undo that

MR. STEINMETZ: -- 99 townhouses in or

MR. BIANCHI: Well, I would hope that we

7

board knows that staff, its outside consultants

easily, but maybe there's something the planning

8

6

and the town board didn't know.

9

10 have some say in it because I don't know why it's

11

in front of us otherwise. Because it, you do have

12

some say in it, and I'm, I'm, I'm not here to be

13

disrespectful of the planning board. But the lead

14

agency was determined and they went through --

15

Tom, they went through four and a half, five

years of process. So under New York State Law,

16

you're the only ones that get the chop lots. Town

17 18

board doesn't have that authority because they

19

delegated that to you. So I have no choice but to

20

be here to get that from you, because I can't get

21

MR. BIANCHI: Right.

that from the town board.

23

22

MR. STEINMETZ: So, you're right.

24

Between you and me, you don't have a lot of

September 5, 2023
authority. There's not a lot to do here. But
we're going to be, Val Santucci has been here for
40 years throughout, throughout his career. We're
going to do this thing the right way. You're
going to have your review. We want this project
to be successful. It's going to be. But the, the
we're, we're on as someone who many years ago
used to run track, we're on like the second half
of the final lap of this race.
MR. BIANCHI: Okay, thank you.
MR. KESSLER: And, and just to again, to
just for the record, I, again, it's conceptual.
But the expectation is that there's one person
that's building all those 99 across the three
lots?
MR. STEINMETZ: So at the present time,
that's the most likely scenario. That's not
something that has to happen.
MR. KESSLER: Okay.
MR. STEINMETZ: There could be more than
one developer of those.
MR. KESSLER: Okay.
MR. STEINMETZ: It's not unusual with,

2.3

with upwards of 99 units for there to be more than one builder. In Mr. Santucci's history of doing many different development deals, that's extremely unlikely to happen here.

MR. KESSLER: Okay.

MR. STEINMETZ: But as a result of the site, some legal issues involved involving the site that relate to prior purchasers, we, we needed to draw the lines the way that we have.

I'm, I'm happy to go into that in greater detail if needed. Staff has been apprised of that. The, the town attorney's office is well apprised of some of those legal issues. But we're here to subdivide.

MR. KEHOE: But, but one thing, I did show this to Martin Rogers in code enforcement and he has some access questions, turnaround questions, things like that.

MR. STEINMETZ: That's tonight. Let's, so let's do that.

MR. KEHOE: So that's the type, you know, that you'll need to talk with Martin about to, to, you know, because that may tweak a little

1	September 5, 2023
2	something here or there. Then the other question
3	that I had, which might be a typo, is up here
4	where it says proposed lot four, it says 1.1
5	acres, but it seems to be drawing to all of those
6	townhouses.
7	MR. KESSLER: Yeah.
8	MR. STEINBERG: Yeah, it's
9	MR. KEHOE: That, is that 11?
10	MR. STEINBERG: I will have to check on
11	the size of that loss.
12	MR. KESSLER: It's a typo.
13	MR. DOUGLAS: It looks like 11.12.
14	MR. KEHOE: And then David, we had
15	discussed the idea of whether the road, the
16	public, because these will be public roads in
17	here, whether they need to be their own lots.
18	MR. STEINMETZ: Public road, one road.
19	MR. KEHOE: Well, is the road going over
20	towards the pharmacy for lack of a better term?
21	MR. STEINMETZ: Yeah, I'm going to let
22	Matt answer that.
23	MR. KEHOE: Okay.
24	MR. CUNNINGHAM: And, and just to

1	September 5, 2023
2	summarize, because that that was a good ten
3	minute discussion that, you know, went, that was
4	in depth. So, just in short to summarize, the
5	applicant believes they made a well reasoned
6	plan. The planning board can still review and
7	comment and there could be modifications to the
8	plan. So.
9	MR. STEINMETZ: Did I say that in ten
10	minutes?
11	MR. KESSLER: You should have.
12	MR. STEINBERG: I'm Matt Steinberg with
13	
14	MR. STEINMETZ: Anyone who thinks he's
15	nice to me has never really seen what goes on
16	here.
17	MR. STEINBERG: Matt Steinberg with DTS
18	Provident Design Engineering. That was a typo,
19	that should read, lot four should read 6.1 acres.
20	MR. KEHOE: Okay.
21	MR. STEINBERG: So we, we'll have that
22	corrected. So in answering, I guess I'll, I'll
23	take that first question with the roadway. So
24	the, the main roadway down the center, that

1	September 5, 2023
2	center spine, that is the one that is intended to
3	be a public, public right of way. That's how it
4	was studied in the DEIS. That'd be the only
5	public roadway including
6	MR. KESSLER: Up, up through the cul-de-
7	sac?
8	MR. STEINBERG: Up through the cul-de-
9	sac, correct.
10	MR. KEHOE: So, so you call that
11	proposed lot seven, right of way 9.58 acres. I,
12	I, I believe that that should be metes and
13	bounded and, and should be shown, but it wouldn't
14	be its own lot.
15	MR. STEINMETZ: Correct.
16	MR. KEHOE: So it shouldn't say lot
17	seven technically, but I'd like to hear you
18	explain that.
19	MR. STEINBERG: Correct. We can, we can
20	change the terminology. Obviously until the,
21	until the town were to I guess
22	MR. KEHOE: Take it over?
23	MR. STEINBERG: accept it, it, you
24	know, it would, it would remain a private road.

1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: But I think the board would
3	want to know how big it is, but it's, it's not
4	another lot in the subdivision.
5	MR. STEINBERG: It's not another
6	buildable lot. Correct, it is not another
7	buildable lot. It is just under an acre and it
8	represents either initially a private roadway,
9	hopefully dedicated to the, to the town.
10	MR. KEHOE: So that might be another
11	typo. It says 9.58 acres for the road.
12	MR. STEINBERG: Yeah, that should be
13	0.9.
14	MR. KEHOE: Okay.
15	MR. STEINBERG: Sorry about that.
16	MR. KEHOE: That's fine.
17	MR. BIANCHI: Point nine. I think, yeah,
18	I think we should call that something different
19	because I thought that I'm looking at that, I
20	said where's the lot. And I guess it's the road.
21	MR. STEINBERG: We can, we can
22	MR. BIANCHI: It doesn't Sound like it
23	should be a lot.
24	MR. STEINBERG: Mm-hmm.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2 MR. STEINMETZ: We, we, we can call that the roadway parcel. In some municipalities, they 3 like to refer to it as a lot. In others, it's not 4 5 a lot for all the reasons Chris has explained. The interesting thing is, I didn't look, Chris, 6 7 the last time I did a subdivision with you in the town was probably Cortlandt Ridge, where we had a 8 9 road that became a public road. I don't recall on 10 that plat whether we called it a, a lot or not. 11 So the nomenclature, whatever makes you guys 12 happy.

MR. KEHOE: So if you could start with the assisted living parcel, then sort of go around.

MR. STEINBERG: Sure, certainly. Well, let me just start actually with the -- so the, the orientation of this, so north is actually at the bottom of the page. This is as if you're standing on, on Crompond Road. So it's, it's slightly -- it's not turned around where north is up. But if you're standing on Crompond Road, you'll actually see a strip that kind of shows up in gray. That is a, a future dedication strip to

2.3

New York State Department of Transportation for roadway improvements. So right off the bat, that area, it's a little less than 0.2 acres overall would be a part of a future dedication. So that, that is coming off the, off the total lot area.

On the left side of the page, that's the assisted independent living site that is as, as David explained, that's a proposed 120 units of assisted and independent living. You can see that obviously we're constrained. The, the town, the town code for the MOD requires that 100 foot buffer area. So that is a constraint on that left side. The roadway, the roadway itself has been situated so that it is across from Conklin. It lines up with the existing intersection signal. So that's, that's kind of that constraint of why that's put where it is. It happens to be kind of the center of the site, so it works out, but that's essentially the, the rationale for that.

And then obviously that's the, you know, that's the building with the parking around it.

It's comprised of, you know, parking lots that, that don't wrap all the way around the building,

September 5, 2023

234

5

6

but they have turnarounds so that emergency vehicles and, and vehicles can turn and head back out to that main spine road. That's kind of our main roadway where all traffic enters and exits this site.

23

2.4

On the, on the right side, I'll, I'll, I'll go right to the right side of the main roadway, that lot six is also not technically a buildable lot. That is comprised of an existing wetland. So that would have a wetland. And then between the roadway and the wetland would be infrastructure, essentially storm water management, a basin, a storm water management facility. That is designated as its own parcel primarily because if the roadway were to be taken by the town, the town obviously would not want to control the wetland or that storm water basin. So it's set aside as its own lot. This way, it's not actually owned by any of the other users. It would be owned collectively potentially, you know, as a master homeowners association, if you will.

MR. KESSLER: They'd be responsible for

1 September 5, 2023 the wetland, the homeowners association, is that 2 what you're saying? 3 MR. STEINBERG: Yeah, the owner, the 4 5 owner of the site is responsible for that, for that maintenance. So eventually, you know, it 6 7 might be owned collectively or, or some kind of a maintenance agreement between all of the 8 9 individual property owners. MR. STEINMETZ: 10 Just a quick 11 12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

interjection on that one as well. Same kind of issue there, if the town and staff want that referred to as open space parcel, that's what it is. It, it's been denoted as a, as a lot here, but it, it's not a development parcel, it's not a development lot, it's nomenclature. It is designed to be and intended to be open space undevelopable and it will be subject to some form of a common storm water maintenance, wetland protection covenant when we get to that point.

MR. BIANCHI: Which lot number were you talking about again?

MR. STEINBERG: So that is lot six. It's designated lot six on the map.

MR. BIANCHI: Oh, oh, Okay. Okay. I'm sorry.

MR. STEINBERG: So I, I jumped out of order a little bit just because those are kind of the, the first things that you see, you know, when you're looking as if you're entering the site. If I go clockwise from the from the assisted living parcel, so we move up the site on that, essentially the east side, that's where we start seeing the, the townhomes.

So again, those are constrained by the 100 foot buffer that's required under the MOD, so that provides, you know, a substantial buffer between the property line closest to Tamarack and those units. Those would be accessed off of the roadway that you can see. It's essentially an access roadway, a private roadway off of the end of the cul-de-sac that would be located on those parcels.

You can see, we, at the moment we've got a property line. So essentially there's 41 units on the, the lower half or lower portion, and then another 20 at the, the further end. Essentially

1	September 5, 2023
2	as David said, this is, you know, based on the
3	way that these parcels were set up during the
4	DEIS process. It provides an opportunity for
5	phasing. It provides an opportunity to sell these
6	individually. Even if it's the same potential
7	builder, they may want to purchase them as, you
8	know, individual lots for phasing purposes.
9	MR. DOUGLAS: Can I ask a question?
10	MR. KESSLER: Go ahead.
11	MR. DOUGLAS: It says 61 market rate and
12	affordable townhouses. How many are, are
13	envisioned to be affordable?
14	MR. STEINBERG: So ten percent, ten
15	percent would be affordable.
16	MR. DOUGLAS: Ten percent?
17	MR. STEINBERG: Yep. And that's required
18	by the, the law.
19	MR. KESSLER: A question. What's the
20	thinking of why one wetland is a lot and the
21	other wetland is not?
22	MR. STEINBERG: It was, I mean,
23	essentially, the one in the front was a little
24	harder to, to attach to one of the other lots. I

2.3

2.4

mean, it, it could have been part of lot, I think it's --

MR. KEHOE: Five?

MR. STEINBERG: -- five. So it could definitely be part of lot five as well. I mean, it's really just a matter of, because that one's also going to have some storm water infrastructure on it and it's essentially serving that purpose, ultimately receiving storm water. It also receives water off of Crampon Road, so the idea was that that was its own parcel.

MR. STEINMETZ: One other, Matt, correct me if I'm wrong because it's a great question.

The wetland in the south end, in the townhouse area is also kind of part of the townhouse community. There is a walking trail around that wetland. So that is intended to be some of the common element of that residential section of the site as opposed to a common element for everybody being the storm water basin at the front.

MR. STEINBERG: No, that is correct, David. That's, I mean, that's, it's, you know, it's serving a function with a walking trail

1	September 5, 2023
2	around it that is an open space use for, for the
3	townhomes. Although, you know, there will be
4	sidewalks that go through the development, you
5	know, it's accessible by anyone else that lives
6	there.
7	MR. BIANCHI: So going back to my
8	original comment about where the lot lines are,
9	why was the proposed lot three townhomes
10	separated in terms of their lot from the rest of
11	the I don't know if the 61 refers to all of
12	them, or just the once in lot three.
13	MR. STEINBERG: It refers to all of the
14	townhomes, yes.
15	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. So Why, why are
16	about 80 of them in lot three and the rest of
17	them in lot two?
18	MR. KEHOE: That'll be 41 in the front
19	and 20
20	MR. STEINBERG: Right. So it's 41 on,
21	yeah, so it'd be 41 on lot two, 20 on lot three,
22	and then 38 on lot four.
23	MR. BIANCHI: I just, the question is,
24	why are they not all in the same lot? I guess

1	September 5, 2023
2	that's
3	MR. STEINBERG: And they could be. I
4	mean, we can, you know, we can continue to have
5	that discussion. You know, at the, at this
6	moment, obviously there's not, there is not a, a
7	known buyer that says that they want them all,
8	you know, together.
9	MR. BIANCHI: Because lot three also
10	includes I think, the wetlands, right?
11	MR. DOUGLAS: Yeah.
12	MR. STEINBERG: Lot three would have the
13	majority of the wetlands.
14	MR. BIANCHI: The other question is why
15	is the wetlands down here separated and not up
16	here into separate lots? But, okay.
17	MR. KESSLER: And why do you have a lot
18	line through the wetland? Why don't you have the
19	lot line
20	MR. BIANCHI: Yeah.
21	MR. KESSLER: up on the one up on
22	top? Why wouldn't the lot line just be on the,
23	you know, the whole wetland within, within one
24	lot?

1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. STEINMETZ: Which line is he
3	referring? I'm not following
4	MR. KESSLER: The one up on top.
5	MR. STEINBERG: The lot line that goes
6	through the wetland. Yeah.
7	MR. KOBASA: So between lot three and
8	lot seven.
9	MR. STEINMETZ: Got it.
10	MR. MCKINLEY: This one.
11	MR. KESSLER: Yeah.
12	MR. STEINMETZ: Without taking you guys
13	all too far into the weeds, but I'll go there.
14	MR. KESSLER: That's what a wetland's
15	all about, the weeds.
16	MR. STEINMETZ: It's the reeds, not the
17	weeds. There was a contract with a buyer for 5.46
18	acres. That's what I think you have in front of
19	you is lot two. There was right of first refusal,
20	right of first offer option for an additional six
21	acres behind the 5.46. For contractual purposes,
22	the lines that we've drawn and legal purposes
23	were trying to match what occurred in those
24	transactions.

In terms of the acreage?

September 5, 2023

MR. BIANCHI:

21

22

23

24

1

MR. STEINMETZ: Precisely. And so our engineers, our planners and our surveyors drew the lines in a fashion that they felt was most appropriate, given the constraints that Val, Mandy and I gave them, which was for, for some legal reasons and, and staff and certainly your, your planning board attorney could explain this to you. We had very good, clear reason why we drew lots with these sizes. We explained that to the town before we even filed this subdivision. We want to process it this way at, at present because we are hoping that we can create these lots and extricate ourselves from some legal proceedings that relate to those contracts. Simple as that.

Quite frankly, and, and I think either
Chris or Michael said this in the work session,
while we're doing this and I have to do this
because I have to get to the health department. I
am simultaneously, nobody's hiding the ball on
this, I'm going to your tax assessor and I'm
trying to see if I can create tax lots that will

1 September 5, 2023 accomplish largely what I need to accomplish that 2 I can get done more rapidly than the subdivision. 3 That may help in the legal proceedings that we're 4 5 involved in. But I mean, Tom, you asked the question so I'm answering it. You probably wish, 6 7 you know I wasn't answering it, but --MR. BIANCHI: No, it's okay. 8 9 MR. STEINMETZ: -- I have no choice but 10 to do this. I've explained this to the town 11 attorney, to the deputy town attorney, to the 12 planning commissioner. They all know why we're 13 doing it. So you can look over there. Maybe 14 they'll help answer the question for you. We're 15 allowed to do this. By the time we get to the end 16 of the final subdivision, the lines may -- by, by 17 that time, maybe we can eliminate the line going 18 through the wetland. At, at present. I can't do 19 that. 20 MR. BIANCHI: Okay. Thank you. 21

MR. KESSLER: And if it's one builder, you may eliminate all the lines.

> MR. STEINMETZ: Exactly.

22

23

24

MR. STEINBERG: They may wish to,

1 September 5, 2023 2 correct. MR. STEINMETZ: Although just to -- just 3 for fun, the builder may not want to finance it 4 5 all at once. And there is value in having lot lines, so that they don't have to place a 6 7 mortgage on the entire thing. They, there are builders that buy things in sections and mortgage 8 9 them in sections. MR. KEHOE: But, but, but we discussed 10 11 this. There is a chance that doing all of this 12 to, in part to get the assisted living parcel on 13 its own lot, may mean that you'll need to re subdivide some of this residential stuff in the 14 15 back. 16 MR. STEINMETZ: Absolutely. 17 MR. KEHOE: Right. So, yeah. 18 MR. STEINMETZ: Absolutely. And if 19 tonight shows us anything, it's really so much 20 fun coming here, that I would definitely want to 21 come back to do that. 22 MR. KESSLER: And, and a small point, 23 the lower wetland, so you're saying that part of 24 that wetland is not part of the property? Is that

1	September 5, 2023
2	which is why the lot line is drawn?
3	MR. STEINBERG: So no, no, sorry. I,
4	the, the wetland the majority of the wetland
5	is on the property.
6	MR. KESSLER: The majority. But you have
7	a little
8	MR. STEINBERG: Yes.
9	MR. KESSLER: you have a little
10	section that's outside of a lot.
11	MR. STEINBERG: There's a, yeah, there's
12	a small section that's technically in the, in the
13	right of way.
14	MR. KESSLER: That's what I'm asking,
15	okay.
16	MR. STEINBERG: DOT, right. And some of
17	that is, is based on an earlier dedication, or an
18	earlier taking from New York State DOT for that
19	side. That's why there's not really that gray
20	strip. That, that side was already dedicated to
21	DOT and that wasn't required this time.
22	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
23	MR. STEINBERG: So wetland, so that
24	lower wetland, you can see that, that there's

2.3

September 5, 2023

a portion that was studied in the EIS that would be, it would be filled in. It's a little darker on that, that plan. There was an extensive review as far as the, the mitigation. Obviously that, that's what this board will also be doing. Under your purview is the, the expansion of the wetland in the south side, the one that's at the end — at the top of the page, that would be expanded as mitigation for the portion of the wetland that's being filled in the front. And that —

MR. KESSLER: What's the, what's the ratio of the mitigation?

MR. STEINBERG: It is, I believe it is two -- it'll be two to one, the replacement.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: That was part of AKRF's review?

MR. STEINBERG: Yes. Yeah, I mean it was, right. AKRF is the town's consultant that did the review of the EIS. So you, you can see in the, in the wetland at the top of the page, there's that hatched area. That, that represents that mitigation, that expansion of the wetland.

MR. BIANCHI: Okay. So last question, at

1	September 5, 2023
2	least for me. So, the lower right, which you
3	haven't gotten to, which is the commercial
4	property, I presume?
5	MR. STEINBERG: Yes.
6	MR. BIANCHI: What's that little gray
7	squiggly line there?
8	MR. STEINBERG: So that's a it
9	represents a, essentially a pocket park that
10	still needs to be designed further.
11	MR. BIANCHI: A what?
12	MR. STEINBERG: A pocket park. So one of
13	the things that the town, the town board had
14	asked for during the review was to, to see if
15	there would be some kind of public space.
16	MR. KEHOE: So the circle is the park
17	and the other thing is a walking path?
18	MR. STEINBERG: Right, it is a walkway
19	that heads out.
20	MR. BIANCHI: I see.
21	MR. STEINBERG: There's a grade change.
22	So, you know, it get
23	MR. BIANCHI: So the commercial building
24	is going to have a, like a public space next

	Dogo 7
1	Page 7 September 5, 2023
2	door?
3	MR. STEINBERG: It, it would have a,
4	right, a public space.
5	MR. BIANCHI: So people go to a CVS,
6	they can go hang out in the public space.
7	MR. STEINBERG: You know, it's an option
8	to be able to, you know, it's a sidewalk. So it'd
9	be a, a different way to get there. It already,
10	because of the grade change needs, you know, a
11	little bit longer of a walkway.
12	MR. KEHOE: You already, you, you
13	already designed one where they, they go to the
14	ShopRite and they can go to a gazebo and picnic
15	tables behind the ShopRite.
16	MR. BIANCHI: Right.
17	MR. KEHOE: So there's precedent.
18	MR. BIANCHI: Right.
19	MR. STEINBERG: So I'll admit that, you
20	know, that obviously needs additional design.
21	MR. BIANCHI: So they can go take their
22	medicine outside on the bench, okay. Right.
23	MR. DOUGLAS: I have a, I have a
24	question. You started to explain a little bit of

2.3

September 5, 2023

the simple fee townhouses on the left hand side.

Can you explain a little bit about the age

targeted town townhouses? They seem to be larger

in size, the lot size.

MR. STEINBERG: They are, yeah. So they are intended to be larger, the idea being that they would have the main bedroom, the master bedroom on the lower level, which generally increases the footprint size because now you've got that extra room on that first level. That's why they're age targeted. They, you know, they meet the needs of somebody that might want to be able to do all their living on the, on the first level.

MR. DOUGLAS: Okay.

MR. STEINBERG: That lot five, the commercial use, so that is accessed -- that's why it has that, essentially that little tail to get to the, to the main, the main spine road that crosses that wetland. But that lot also has the emergency access out to Lafayette, but that's emergency access only.

MR. DOUGLAS: Could you explain whether

1	September 5, 2023
2	the tail was private or public? I missed that.
3	MR. STEINBERG: So the, the tail would
4	also be part of that same lot. It'd be private.
5	MR. KESSLER: The what would be?
6	MR. STEINBERG: The tail leading to the
7	commercial lot across the wetland, that'd be a
8	private access way.
9	MR. KEHOE: See, those are the types of
10	things though, right. We don't have to decide
11	them now. So what you're saying though is our
12	plow truck will go up the main road, but not take
13	a right and plow that road?
14	MR. STEINBERG: Right, correct.
15	MR. KEHOE: That might become an
16	operational issue and problem, but that doesn't
17	have to be solved now necessarily. But if we go
18	in and plow and that doesn't get plowed, we're
19	going to get phone calls about why we didn't plow
20	that. And the answer will be, well, it's not
21	ours. And then it gets confusing.
22	MR. STEINBERG: Yeah, I mean I, you
23	know, I assume that could happen on, you know, on
24	a subdivision or a, a, a roadway that is

1	Page 8 September 5, 2023
2	dedicated, then had condos off of it or, you
3	know, I, I assume that there's situations like
4	that that have, that have popped up throughout,
5	not, maybe not in Cortlandt alone, but other,
6	other municipalities.
7	MR. KESSLER: Then to Chris's point, so
8	the townhomes can't get plowed until the town
9	comes and plows the cul-de-sac?
10	MR. STEINBERG: Which would be the same,
11	I guess, for any public road in the town. If the
12	town maintains the road leading to a development,
13	I think that'd be the same situation.
14	MR. KESSLER: Do we have many of those
15	where they I don't know.
16	MR. DOUGLAS: I live on one.
17	MR. KESSLER: You live on one?
18	MR. DOUGLAS: I live on a private road
19	and if they don't plow [unintelligible]
20	[01:08:00] Road, we can't get plowed.
21	MR. KESSLER: But it's a
22	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Our Director of the
23	Department of Environmental Services would review
24	ahead of time anyway before any subdivision's
47	anead of time anyway before any subdivision s

	$\Gamma_{\alpha} = 0$
1	Page 83 September 5, 2023
2	approved.
3	MR. KESSLER: Right.
4	MR. DOUGLAS: I, I guess there's one
5	MR. STEINMETZ: Just one, I just want to
6	go back on
7	MR. DOUGLAS: Please, sure.
8	MR. STEINMETZ: I, my, my
9	understanding, I asked the Santuccis, you all
10	would probably know better, I think Valeria, as
11	you all approved it, is approved with private
12	roads inside. So the only way to get to the
13	plowing of Valeria is for the town to plow its
14	public roads that lead up to it. So I just wanted
15	to give that as an example.
16	MR. KESSLER: Yeah, I mean you're
17	talking, you're talking Furnace Dock Road, which
18	is a fairly major road as opposed to a road
19	that's inside of a development basically.
20	MR. STEINMETZ: It's a
21	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
22	MR. STEINMETZ: It's a public road.
23	MR. MCKINLEY: I, I'm interested in the
24	road too. I just, when I see it, so it's a

roundabout. Is there, are there other options that were considered? I just think it's a very, very large area plot to have one single road. I that because we're landlocked that other entrances couldn't be considered?  MR. STEINBERG: Well, yeah, I mean obviously we, you know, we were during the DEIS process, obviously there was a sensitivity to any connections to any of the adjacent neighborhoods.  MR. MCKINLEY: Of course.  MR. STEINBERG: So that wasn't, wasn't considered by the town board.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.  MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access t Lafayette is there, so that there is that secon means of access. It also allows for if there's something at that intersection, trucks, you kno fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.		11200
that were considered? I just think it's a very, very large area plot to have one single road. I that because we're landlocked that other entrances couldn't be considered?  MR. STEINBERG: Well, yeah, I mean obviously we, you know, we were during the  DEIS process, obviously there was a sensitivity to any connections to any of the adjacent neighborhoods.  MR. MCKINLEY: Of course.  MR. STEINBERG: So that wasn't, wasn't considered by the town board.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.  MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access t  Lafayette is there, so that there is that secon means of access. It also allows for if there's something at that intersection, trucks, you kno fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	1	September 5, 2023
that because we're landlocked that other entrances couldn't be considered?  MR. STEINBERG: Well, yeah, I mean obviously we, you know, we were during the  DEIS process, obviously there was a sensitivity to any connections to any of the adjacent neighborhoods.  MR. MCKINLEY: Of course.  MR. STEINBERG: So that wasn't, wasn't considered by the town board.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.  MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access t Lafayette is there, so that there is that secon means of access. It also allows for if there's something at that intersection, trucks, you kno fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	2	roundabout. Is there, are there other options
that because we're landlocked that other entrances couldn't be considered?  MR. STEINBERG: Well, yeah, I mean obviously we, you know, we were during the  DEIS process, obviously there was a sensitivity to any connections to any of the adjacent neighborhoods.  MR. MCKINLEY: Of course.  MR. STEINBERG: So that wasn't, wasn't considered by the town board.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.  MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access the state of the st	3	that were considered? I just think it's a very,
6 entrances couldn't be considered?  7	4	very large area plot to have one single road. Is
MR. STEINBERG: Well, yeah, I mean obviously we, you know, we were during the  DEIS process, obviously there was a sensitivity to any connections to any of the adjacent neighborhoods.  MR. MCKINLEY: Of course.  MR. STEINBERG: So that wasn't, wasn't considered by the town board.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.  MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access t  Lafayette is there, so that there is that secon means of access. It also allows for if there's something at that intersection, trucks, you kno fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	5	that because we're landlocked that other
obviously we, you know, we were during the  DEIS process, obviously there was a sensitivity to any connections to any of the adjacent neighborhoods.  MR. MCKINLEY: Of course.  MR. STEINBERG: So that wasn't, wasn't considered by the town board.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.  MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access t Lafayette is there, so that there is that secon means of access. It also allows for if there's something at that intersection, trucks, you kno fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	6	entrances couldn't be considered?
DEIS process, obviously there was a sensitivity to any connections to any of the adjacent neighborhoods.  MR. MCKINLEY: Of course.  MR. STEINBERG: So that wasn't, wasn't considered by the town board.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.  MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access t  Lafayette is there, so that there is that secon means of access. It also allows for if there's something at that intersection, trucks, you know fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	7	MR. STEINBERG: Well, yeah, I mean
to any connections to any of the adjacent neighborhoods.  MR. MCKINLEY: Of course.  MR. STEINBERG: So that wasn't, wasn't considered by the town board.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.  MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access t  Lafayette is there, so that there is that secon means of access. It also allows for if there's something at that intersection, trucks, you kno fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	8	obviously we, you know, we were during the
neighborhoods.  MR. MCKINLEY: Of course.  MR. STEINBERG: So that wasn't, wasn't  considered by the town board.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.  MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access t  Lafayette is there, so that there is that secon  means of access. It also allows for if there's  something at that intersection, trucks, you know  if ire trucks could still get around to Lafayette  using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	9	DEIS process, obviously there was a sensitivity
MR. MCKINLEY: Of course.  MR. STEINBERG: So that wasn't, wasn't  considered by the town board.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.  MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access t  Lafayette is there, so that there is that secon  means of access. It also allows for if there's  something at that intersection, trucks, you kno  fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette  using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	10	to any connections to any of the adjacent
MR. STEINBERG: So that wasn't, wasn't  considered by the town board.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.  MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access t  Lafayette is there, so that there is that secon  means of access. It also allows for if there's  something at that intersection, trucks, you kno  fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette  using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	11	neighborhoods.
considered by the town board.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.  MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access to the state of the s	12	MR. MCKINLEY: Of course.
MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.  MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access to the state of the second means of access. It also allows for if there's something at that intersection, trucks, you know fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	13	MR. STEINBERG: So that wasn't, wasn't
MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access to Lafayette is there, so that there is that secon means of access. It also allows for if there's something at that intersection, trucks, you kno fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	14	considered by the town board.
Lafayette is there, so that there is that second means of access. It also allows for if there's something at that intersection, trucks, you know fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	15	MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.
means of access. It also allows for if there's something at that intersection, trucks, you kno fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	16	MR. STEINBERG: The emergency access to
something at that intersection, trucks, you know fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	17	Lafayette is there, so that there is that second
fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	18	means of access. It also allows for if there's
using the site.  MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	19	something at that intersection, trucks, you know,
MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.	20	fire trucks could still get around to Lafayette
	21	using the site.
MR. STEINBERG: But the idea being that	22	MR. MCKINLEY: Sure.
	23	MR. STEINBERG: But the idea being that
the main access is only through that off of	24	the main access is only through that off of

1 September 5, 2023 Crompond Road, which obviously is designed to 2 handle that traffic. 3 MR. KESSLER: Is that like a breakaway 4 5 gate there or --Yeah, I mean that I 6 MR. STEINBERG: 7 don't know if all the [unintelligible] [01:09:46] have been designed, but it'd be some kind of a 8 9 breakaway that the fire department and that the 10 building inspector would, would find appropriate. 11 Yeah. It wouldn't be just open to access. 12 MR. STEINMETZ: Matt, just explain that 13 We did look at connecting [unintelligible] 14 [01:09:58]. 15 MR. KESSLER: Okay. What do we do here? 16 MR. STEINBERG: Yes. Yeah. There was a 17 point during the DEIS process of looking at 18 connecting all the way through Lafayette. There 19 was even at one point, discussions of routing 20 Lafayette or, or at least that right of way 21 through the site. Based on topography, the 22 distance to the neighbors, just how much land 23 that would take to put a public right of way

through it just took up too much land and it

24

1 September 5, 2023 didn't, it didn't really accomplish what you 2 know, the town staff was looking for. But we had 3 studied it. You know, there were multiple studies 4 5 of, of other ways to get in and out of the site. MR. KESSLER: And, and you don't show 6 7 the homes on the right side here off of Lafayette, I assume those are all residential. 8 9 They are residential. And we could add those to a 10 future plan. They're, they're much closer to 11 Lafayette. They've got obviously, a much larger 12 backyard. MR. KESSLER: And a different --13 14 elevation I imagine is different also? It is. It's a little bit 15 MR. STEINBERG: 16 closer in elevation, but because of the distance 17 and, and vegetation there's a kind of a different 18 dynamic between those. But we can, we can show 19 you that. We did some sections for the town 20 board. We could certainly present those to you. 21 MR. KESSLER: Okay. I appreciate that. 22 Thank you. 23 MR. KEHOE: But, but, I think what we've 24 discovered here is what are you going to show on

1 September 5, 2023 2 the subdivision plat? MR. KEHOE: None of the proposed 3 improvements? Just the utilities, the storm water 4 5 and the lots? MR. STEINBERG: Right. Well, so as part 6 7 of our July submission, or was it --MR. STEINMETZ: Can you bring it up, 8 9 Chris? Maybe that, that would be easy if you 10 showed. You have it. 11 MR. STEINBERG: Right, so we submitted a 12 subdivision plat, which just showed the, the lot 13 lines. And then we submitted an engineering 14 drawing set, which shows the design of that 15 roadway. And as Chris pointed out, you know, 16 there would be discussions with, you know, town 17 staff, the town engineer, environmental services 18 the building inspector and the fire department as 19 far as what that layout ultimately looks like. 20 And we will get their comments and respond. Um, 21 you know, but there's a full engineering set of 22 drawings on how that roadway, you know, 23 ultimately will work within that subdivision.

24

MR. KEHOE: But this is what we're

	Daga 0'
1	Page 8' September 5, 2023
2	talking about, right?
3	MR. STEINBERG: That's the plat,
4	correct.
5	MR. KESSLER: That's the
6	MR. KEHOE: But for the purposes of the
7	preliminary subdivision approval public hearing,
8	this would be the only thing that would be
9	discussed?
10	MR. STEINMETZ: Correct.
11	MR. KESSLER: So it's just the utilities
12	and the infrastructure along the road?
13	MR. STEINBERG: Along the roadway.
14	MR. KESSLER: Up to the cul-de-sac?
15	MR. STEINBERG: Right.
16	MR. KESSLER: That's it? On, on your
17	proposed lot seven or whatever it was.
18	MR. STEINBERG: Right.
19	MR. STEINMETZ: We went through, and and
20	I would ask staff to do it before the hearing, we
21	went through your subdivision regulations as a
22	team. There are like 15 subsets under, and I
23	don't have the citation in front of me. I can
24	grab it if you need it. And we, we wanted to make

1	September 5, 2023
2	sure that our plat would show everything that's
3	required by the town subdivision regulations.
4	That's what's there. There is a final, I think
5	the last item is that the planning board has the
6	right to ask for a conceptual future development
7	plan. Hence the conceptual site plans we've
8	shared with you. But for subdivision purposes,
9	it's lot lines, it's roads, it's utilities, it's
10	storm water basins.
11	MR. CUNNINGHAM: And so we'll, between
12	now and the next meeting we'll have Joe's team
13	take a look at it and make sure that it complies
14	with the preliminary
15	MR. STEINMETZ: That's great.
16	MR. CUNNINGHAM: regulations there.
17	MR. STEINMETZ: There's been some back
18	and forth with Joe on this. I think Joe knew we,
19	we, what we were trying to achieve here.
20	MR. KEHOE: But as I said, I sent it to
21	Martin and he had some thoughts.
22	MR. STEINMETZ: But sounds like Martin
23	has thoughts about the conceptual site plan
24	turnarounds.

1 September 5, 2023 MR. KEHOE: Well, he had to do with, I 2 don't know, connection to Lafayette, the radius 3 of the cul-de-sac. He had a variety of things 4 5 that he thought impacted the subdivision, but --MR. STEINMETZ: Fair enough. Please 6 7 share that with us, because we're, I mean, that's exactly the kind of feedback we would like to 8 9 get. 10 MR. KESSLER: I, I think you should 11 have, because eventually we're going to have a 12 public hearing on this, maybe next month, I don't 13 know. But I think the public is going to look at 14 this other map that we had up there and they're 15 going to focus on the townhomes and how many 16 there are. And that's not really our objective 17 right now. 18 MR. STEINMETZ: Correct. 19 MR. KEHOE: Well that's, that's what I 20 was sort of getting at. This should be the map 21 that is the one that's shown.

> MR. KESSLER: So we -- exactly. MR. KEHOE: But you, you --

22

2.3

24

MR. BIANCHI: So we should less

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

1	September 5, 2023
2	[unintelligible] [01:14:21] meeting.
3	MR. KEHOE: have been explained to
4	you the other map, which has caused all sorts of
5	questions
6	MR. KESSLER: Right.
7	MR. KEHOE: that should be available
8	in case it needs to be shown.
9	MR. BIANCHI: Right.
10	MR. KEHOE: But this would be the one
11	that would be shown.
12	MR. BIANCHI: I Agree.
13	MR. KESSLER: Right. Again, I mean it's
14	conceptual. What you have there is conceptual,
15	there's no application for the sub
16	MR. STEINMETZ: Exactly.
17	MR. KESSLER: for the development of
18	that property.
19	MR. STEINMETZ: Agreed.
20	MR. KESSLER: We're just dealing with a
21	seven lot subdivision.
22	MR. STEINMETZ: This is
23	MR. KESSLER: basically a seven lot
24	lot.

2.0

2.3

2.4

MR. STEINMETZ: I'm going to fall on the sword here because I'll take the blame. I'll never blame anything on Matt. I should have insisted that Matt --

MR. KEHOE: You just did.

MR. STEINMETZ: -- did this map first and not the conceptuals, because this is what we filed. And we did it the other way because we, we knew -- we'd gotten a lot of questions from your board about that site plan. We know that. You, you've communicated that effectively to us that you wanted to understand the conceptual site plan. The chair and I talked extensively in July about would our site plan things fit within the lots. So we started with that because we thought that was kind of what you wanted, but our application is for this and all the information that's contained on this plat map and that's what we're going to start the public hearing with.

MR. KEHOE: Right. But at some point, someone in the public is going to say, well, what's going on lot six or what's going on lot two. And we know that.

1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. STEINMETZ: And, and our answer's
3	going to be, we're not certain yet. We can tell
4	you conceptually, but this is what we are here
5	for.
6	MR. KESSLER: That's it. Conceptually is
7	the key word. Okay. Any more comments from staff,
8	board? If not, Ms. Hildinger?
9	MS. HILDINGER: I'd like to make a
10	motion to hold a public hearing in October for PB
11	2023-five, the application of VS Construction
12	Corp
13	MR. MCKINLEY: Seconded.
14	MS. HILDINGER: for a six lot
15	subdivision.
16	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
17	MR. KESSLER: Second, so do we need
18	anything before that or
19	MR. KEHOE: Well, no, I just want to
20	make sure, there seemed to be some transposition
21	numbering errors on what I would call the
22	improvement plan.
23	MR. STEINBERG: Right
24	MR. KEHOE: This just confirm that

1	September 5, 2023
2	all the lot sizes and everything are right on
3	this one, which I assume that they are. And they
4	just got sort of twisted around when they got
5	moved to the improvement plan.
6	MR. STEINBERG: Yes.
7	MR. KEHOE: Because it says here the
8	right of way is 0.95 acres.
9	MR. STEINBERG: That is correct.
10	MR. KEHOE: It was 9.5 acres, you know,
11	some of those things, but as long as this is
12	right, this will be the drawing that's
13	advertised.
14	MR. KESSLER: Right.
15	MR. KEHOE: I don't know. We'll see what
16	Martin and Joe say about this between now and
17	October. You can still open the public hearing.
18	They may tweak it a little bit.
19	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Fair enough. Okay,
20	we're on the question. All in favor?
21	MULTIPLE: Aye.
22	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Okay.
23	MR. STEINMETZ: Thank you.
24	MR. KESSLER: See you next month. Thank
	ii

2.3

September 5, 2023

you. Alright, onto new business. The first item is the application of Ryan Main for site development plan approval and a residential reuse special permit for a steep slope wetland and tree removal permits for an additional 13 rental units at Meadowbrook Commons on the Boulevard, formerly known as Pond View, located on Route 6 west of Regina Avenue. Drawings dated August 23, 2023. Huh? Business is good.

MR. STEINMETZ: Note for the record, the, it was, was it a grunt or a -- I don't -- good evening, Mr. Chairman and members of the board. David Steinmetz from the law firm of Zarin and Steinitz here representing Ryan Main. The good news for you, I'm pinch hitting for Brad Schwartz tonight. This is really his matter and his application. I don't know a ton about it, other than I got the original Ryan Main project approved with your board several years ago. And we are back tonight, as the chair indicated during the work session, to discuss 13 additional apartment units that are all in accordance with recently adopted town board amendments. I'm

1 September 5, 2023 joined tonight by Steve Marino from Tim Miller 2 and Hernani de Almeida, our project engineer. 3 Hernani is going to walk us through a 4 5 presentation of the units and Steve is here to answer any questions about wetlands, 6 7 biodiversity, and environmental impacts. And we are here to begin reviewing the site plan on 8 these 13 apartments. 9 10 MR. KESSLER: Right. Ultimately we'll 11 refer this back to the staff for them to review, 12 but --13 MR. STEINMETZ: No discussion of lot 14 lines, I promise. 15 MR. HERNANI DE ALMEIDA: Hi, good 16 evening. My name's Hernani de Almeida. I'm the 17 project engineer for this, these additional units 18 at Meadowview. So to bring you up to speed, this, 19 this development is on Route 6, 3195, Route 6. 20 And if we go through the first slide there I'll 21 go through the prior approvals really quick. Back 22 in 2011, the board approved the, the lot to be

developed for 56 units. And then in 2017, the

town board granted the site plan approval for

2.3

24

2.3

September 5, 2023

wetland steep slopes and tree removals. And then in 2021, sorry, 2020 we came to the planning board with an amendment to the site plan for some garages, two garages to be exact. Next slide.

So in 2021, May of 2021, the development opened up to the, to the residents. I don't know if you've been by it since it's been open. It's easily accessible. It's right by the Wal-Mart and that area, the general area over there. Next slide. Here's a couple of shots of the interior. So it's, it's a well made product and it's fully occupied. Next.

Okay. So what we'd like to do is develop
13 additional units. There are two buildings each
with six units. They are to mimic what's out
there already. So as far as trying to visualize
what's what we're talking about to be
constructed, you could go out there, you have a
full scale model of what's going to go out -what's being proposed, both in style, color,
textures, plantings, everything. So the two, two
buildings that you can see on this mock up here
on the right, there's six units each. And then on

2.3

2.4

the left is two garages, each with 12 parking spots. Next. And here's a view another view of the same units. This is from the uphill side looking down. If we were flying in the air like a drone, we'd be right over the roofs of the warehouse right across the street. And we'll see the relationship of the warehouse in a future slide to the development.

This is the 13th unit that we'd like to discuss as well. this is the existing clubhouse, that blue structure you see there. On the second floor, we'd like to put a studio apartment. Right now there's nothing there, no apartment, nothing. It's just empty. But, the clubhouse at the bottom is available to the residence.

MR. KESSLER: In the existing space upstairs, you want to put in an apartment, is That what you're saying?

MR. DE ALMEIDA: Correct. It's again, for a caretaker. So the property is, is owned and maintained by one owner and no plans on selling it or anything else. They obviously take very good care of their properties. And it's also

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

September 5, 2023

2 illustrated here by them wanting to put in a caretaker to take care of this property full time 3 as opposed to just coming whenever there's a 4

complaint, you know. Next slide.

So if you could see the site here, on the top of the screen, you have Route 6 and you enter through the little side road there that's shared with the shopping center and you go through the existing development. And where we're talking about the, the new development is down on the south, southeast side there. And I blew it up on the, the inset right there. That's, yeah, that's the blown up version. That outlying line bounding everything is our limited disturbance. It's approximately about 41,000 square feet. We have a road that comes in off of an existing road, and then we have a fire truck turnaround, as per town standards, along with three visitor parking spots. And as you can see to the west, which is on the left is all the townhouses and to the right are the two garages.

If, if you were, if we had a bigger view here, you'd see even further to the right of the

> Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

1	September 5, 2023
2	property line is where the, the warehouses are.
3	And again, we'll see those in an aerial view
4	later on. Next, thank you. That is the colored
5	line version. It's a little easier to see than a
6	black and white. It's the same thing. I rotated
7	it, so this way we could blow it up a little bit.
8	And those are the two garages there that the
9	pointer is over, and across the street again, are
10	the six units in each building.
11	MR. BIANCHI: Are the are there two
12	garage units dedicated to each unit?
13	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yes.
14	MR. BIANCHI: Is that why you have
15	MR. DE ALMEIDA: It's two spaces per
16	unit. That's why you have
17	MR. BIANCHI: Is that the way it is on
18	the other part of the property as well?
19	MR. DE ALMEIDA: The other, the other
20	part of the property has both outside parking
21	spots and 11 parking spots in a garage, so that
22	not everybody has an inside parking spot, just a
23	few.
24	MR. BIANCHI: Alright. But ones that

1	September 5, 2023
2	have garages, are they one or two garage
3	dedicated to one living unit.
4	MR. DE ALMEIDA: They're each
5	individual. I don't believe they're in the
6	existing ones. They're not dedicated like two,
7	like a pair to a, to a unit.
8	MR. BIANCHI: So, but here they will be?
9	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yes.
10	MR. KESSLER: The entrance, how, how do
11	we get into the townhouse?
12	MR. DE ALMEIDA: They're townhouses,
13	they're attached homes.
14	MR. KESSLER: And what's the entrance
15	you said, through the shopping center?
16	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yeah, it's the same
17	exact entrance. We're not proposing a new
18	entrance. There's negligible impact to traffic. I
19	mean, we're talking about 13 additional units
20	coming out onto Route 6, which is already an
21	existing road, a very busy road.
22	MR. KESSLER: So they travel through all
23	the other units to get to their unit, is that
24	Right?

2.3

MR. DE ALMEIDA: Correct. Through the private roads within the development, yeah.

MR. KESSLER: Right. But they'll pass all the other units to get to the new units?

MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yes.

MR. KESSLER: Okay.

MR. DE ALMEIDA: So this is at the, at the very end of the project. This slide will show you the -- oh, go back one. This shows you the extension of the water and sewer. The green is sewer. There is an existing manhole where we're going to, we're going to we're going to jump off from and go up the hill to a dead end manhole at the end of, of this road. The water line is to go up and loop around to an existing water main that's on the neighboring property where the -- where the -- it's not really a warehouse, it's one of those, those storage facilities that people rent out.

We are going to be talking to your, your department of environmental services regarding the existing location of that water main. I believe they're waiting on as-builts because it

was built recently. And then once we have those as-builts in hand, we'll have a precise location where it's going to tie in. But where it ends right now on the other side of the property line is where there's a fire hydrant. So I know there's definitely water there. But it's probably going to be further down, closer to where I make that, that 45 degree.

Now the water and sewer is being designed by another engineer, Brian Hildenbrand. This is just concept right now. And he will be presenting it to the Board of Health. Next one. And here you see the impacts to wetlands. And the blue circle there is where there's a flagged wetland. Steve Marino, you know, I'm going to give it up to Steve Marino on this, on this slide, and then he'll turn it back to me.

MR. STEVE MARINO: Good evening everyone. Steve Marino, Tim Miller Associates. Back in 2017, Steve Coleman flagged the wetlands on this property on behalf of the town. In all honesty, the area he, he flagged that impacts this development is -- has changed over the

2.0

2.3

2.4

years. It was originally flagged as a seepage point coming out of the hill, which flowed down the slope, as you can see, forming a narrow channel till it reached the actual flat topographic, larger wetland and a better functioning wetland at the bottom of the slope. But Steve did identify that there was a point in the hillside where water was seeping out and that met the criteria for the town to be flagged as wetlands. So that was flagged.

So as part of this project, then a small portion of the wetland, about 1,600 square feet would be filled in to accommodate the construction of the, of the residences. Chris, if I can have the next one. And that green arrow that, oh, there you go. The green arrow you see there, that's a an area where we can do two to one wetland mitigation in the actual wetland at the bottom of the slope.

As you can see, that wetland kind of goes off to the west, south and a little bit to the north towards Route 6. There was mitigation that was done as part of the original project for

1 September 5, 2023 the road construction. This would be additional 2 mitigation related only to the, the development 3 to the additional units. And we'll, as we move 4 5 forward through the process, there'll be a more specific wetland mitigation plan with planting 6 7 and grading, et cetera, presented to the board as a -- as mitigation for this, for that impact. 8 9 MR. MCKINLEY: So how would you actually 10 access that area? 11 MR. MARINO: There are storm water 12 basins. There are some rough roads essentially 13 created for maintenance of the, of the existing 14 storm water basins so that a, a machine would be 15 able to get down there and do some --16 MR. MCKINLEY: Be able to get back 17 there? Okay. 18 MR. MARINO: Yeah. I have photographs of 19 the area. I have all kinds of stuff that we'll be 20 presenting as we move forward. 21 MR. MCKINLEY: Okav. 22 MR. KEHOE: I believe if Coleman 23 delineated that wetland back in 2017, we'll have 24 to have it re-delineated as per our code. You

know --

MD M

MR. MARINO: Yes.

MR. KEHOE: -- and that the applicant has prefunded an escrow account. I believe the trees have also been located. We'll have to get our arborist out there to confirm the tree location. But we'll get someone out there to redelineate the wetland and work with Steve.

MR. MARINO: Yep.

MR. DE ALMEIDA: Thank you. Okay, next slide. So here we have the visual impacts. If you look at the bottom right -- if you can move the cursor over to where the, where the houses -- warehouses are, this public storage, public storage, yeah -- so those two right there are the storage facilities I was talking about earlier. And directly across the street is where we're looking to, to develop right there.

And if you look to the image on the right, that's the new development superimposed onto that aerial image. As you can see, it's a minimal impact, both for the site as a whole and to surrounding neighborhoods. Let's go to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

September 5, 2023

next slide and show a close-up of it. So there you go to the before and after to the left and right. And the warehouses there are those, those white roofs.

MR. KESSLER: Are they on the same elevation? The warehouses in the --

MR. DE ALMEIDA: No. This, this is roughly, I believe 20 feet lower than the warehouses on that side of the street. The next, next slide will show some topographic changes. So here what we're showing is to where the development's going to be, the nearest residences, as far as I could tell. The one to the top of the screen there is 310 feet away and 24 feet higher than where we're looking to develop. Again, that's not exactly the nearest neighbor. The nearest neighbor is the storage facility. And the next nearest residence is 445 feet away at an elevation difference of 110 feet. So it's quite significant. And that's pretty much it.

Now what we're looking to do here tonight is give you guys an introduction to the

1	September 5, 2023
2	project, offer you to please come out, take a
3	look at the site and you'll see what we're
4	talking about. Everything's developed right up to
5	where we want to connect a paved road right up to
6	it. And you might even see a little bit of the
7	land clearing there that was still left behind
8	from the last development, because I think that
9	was where their, their storage yard or, you know,
10	their, that was their, where they, they stored
11	their, their gravel, their materials.
12	MR. KESSLER: We didn't discuss the site
13	visit.
13	VISIC.
14	MR. KEHOE: We typically, after you get
14	MR. KEHOE: We typically, after you get
14 15	MR. KEHOE: We typically, after you get the review memo.
14 15 16	MR. KEHOE: We typically, after you get the review memo.  MR. KESSLER: After the review member,
14 15 16 17	MR. KEHOE: We typically, after you get the review memo.  MR. KESSLER: After the review member, that's what I was going to ask. Okay.
14 15 16 17 18	MR. KEHOE: We typically, after you get the review memo.  MR. KESSLER: After the review member, that's what I was going to ask. Okay.  MR. STEINMETZ: And I, and I think Mr.
14 15 16 17 18	MR. KEHOE: We typically, after you get the review memo.  MR. KESSLER: After the review member, that's what I was going to ask. Okay.  MR. STEINMETZ: And I, and I think Mr.  Chairman also, we were hoping that your board
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. KEHOE: We typically, after you get the review memo.  MR. KESSLER: After the review member, that's what I was going to ask. Okay.  MR. STEINMETZ: And I, and I think Mr. Chairman also, we were hoping that your board would designate your intent to declare yourselves
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. KEHOE: We typically, after you get the review memo.  MR. KESSLER: After the review member, that's what I was going to ask. Okay.  MR. STEINMETZ: And I, and I think Mr.  Chairman also, we were hoping that your board would designate your intent to declare yourselves lead agency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

thing. One of the reasons that the town board in its wisdom decided to adopt the recent zoning text amendment that allowed for this to proceed, we spent a lot of time on, on the RUSP [phonetic] and on Pond View, the original project. Only Steve and Tom and I and Chris were around for that. But we spent a lot of time taking a section of the town immediately east of the Cortlandt Town Center that was really a blight on Route 6 and its burgeoning into Cortlandt Boulevard. We were really proud to, to get that thing through pursuant to the RUSP and even more pleased that the town was happy with how it turned out, how it was absorbed into the community rather rapidly. And that set the stage for doing something else. So we're pleased that this developer took a section of the town that that was calling for some attention, did it, did it in accordance with the planning board's approval and presumably with the admiration of the town board resulting in the, in the amendment.

So we look forward to moving these 13 units ahead and think it'll be an excellent

1	September 5, 2023
2	addition to Pond View Commons.
3	MR. CUNNINGHAM: And, and just a point
4	of clarification. So, the applicant right now has
5	proposed further changes to the code. There,
6	there have been changes in the past, but there's
7	still the changes that this board already
8	commented on. Those are still hanging out there.
9	MR. STEINMETZ: Got it.
10	MR. CUNNINGHAM: So they've not been
11	approved yet.
12	MR. KESSLER: Alright, any preliminary
13	comments from the board? if not Mr. Bianchi?
14	MR. BIANCHI: Okay, Mr. Chairman, I'll
15	move to first of all declare the planning board
16	as lead agency and second to refer to refer
17	this back to staff.
18	MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Second, please.
19	MR. KOBASA: Second.
20	MS. HILDINGER: Second.
21	MR. KESSLER: Thank you, on the
22	question. All in favor?
23	MULTIPLE: Aye.
24	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Okay. See you

1	September 5, 2023
2	soon.
3	MR. STEINMETZ: Good night. Thank you
4	all.
5	MR. KESSLER: Alright, final item this
6	evening. It's the application of Heike Schneider
7	on behalf of 3120 Lexington, LLC for amended site
8	plan approval and a wetland permit for a proposed
9	2,700 square foot building addition to the
10	existing ACE hardware store and for an
11	approximately 800 square foot temporary fabric
12	building located at 3120 Lexington Avenue.
13	Drawings dated July 20 July 1, 2023, and
14	August 25th, 2023. Good evening.
15	MR. BEN TRUITT: Good Evening. Ben
16	Truitt, I'm standing in for Heike. She was unable
17	to attend this evening. You have before you, as
18	she outlined, two parts, a temporary structure
19	and the permanent addition to the building, the
20	2,700 square foot addition with exterior access.
21	MR. KEHOE: Can we start with the
22	permanent one?
23	MR. TRUITT: Sure.
24	MR. KEHOE: So that's what I have up on

1 September 5, 2023 2 the screen. MR. TRUITT: Okay. So, as you'll see up 3 there a little bit to the -- there we go. Yes. So 4 5 that shaded area up there is the addition area. It will be attached to the existing metal frame 6 7 building, which is the rear portion of the, the two adjoined buildings there. It does encroach 8 into the wetland. You can see the wetland 9 10 boundary aligned out there. It's a 2,700 square 11 foot single story. It would be used for storage 12 only, storage of materials for the hardware 13 store. Our clients there, the, the business 14 operating there, really needs additional storage

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

operation, thus the need for this. Every effort that we can conceive has been made to minimize the encroachment on the wetland. This is the sort of smallest version of a structure that my client feels would be viable for him. That is, that is the gist of it.

space to maintain the viability of that

MR. TRUITT: It is lower.

the existing building?

MR. KESSLER: Is the height the same as

1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. KESSLER: Lower?
3	MR. TRUITT: It is. So, the front
4	building is lower than the rear building
5	slightly. This, this is a single story, so it
6	stands lower than the rear building, but it is
7	fairly close to the height of the front building.
8	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Wait, wait. So which
9	is the front? The front is?
10	MR. TRUITT: The one-story frame
11	building.
12	MR. KESSLER: And it's front?
13	MR. TRUITT: Yep.
14	MR. KESSLER: The back is, you said
15	higher?
16	MR. TRUITT: The metal frame building
17	that roof line stands slightly higher than the
18	front building.
19	MR. KESSLER: And the proposed is?
20	MR. TRUITT: Roughly the same as the
21	front building.
22	MR. KESSLER: The front is the lower?
23	MR. DOUGLAS: If, if you made the, the
24	proposed structure the same height of the front

1	September 5, 2023
2	building, could you lessen the impact on the
3	wetland?
4	MR. TRUITT: No. Realistically no. The,
5	the height wise?
6	MR. DOUGLAS: No, I'm just thinking
7	MR. TRUITT: Which is more if we shifted
8	
9	MR. DOUGLAS: iff you make it higher,
10	then you can make it less wide.
11	MR. TRUITT: That presents some
12	difficulties for the inside because of the need
13	for racking and, and forklift access and that
14	sort of thing. So, while additional height is
15	beneficial, that square footage is more
16	important.
17	MR. KEHOE: But I think Mr. Douglas has
18	touched upon, I mean, it's in a wetland.
19	MR. TRUITT: Mm-hmm.
20	MR. KEHOE: Not just a buffer, a
21	wetland. And I see Mr. Marino is here in the
22	audience. So options of changing the location or
23	shape will be something that the planning board
24	will be talking about with our own wetland

1	September 5, 2023
2	consultant, as this process goes through.
3	MR. KESSLER: And so why wouldn't you
4	shift it down so that it's, you know, it's, even
5	with the building?
6	MR. TRUITT: Primarily as it relates to
7	attachment to the buildings, we felt that we're
8	going to if even if we shift down, we're
9	going to be abutting the wetland as it approaches
10	the, or impacting the wetlands as it approaches
11	the front building. This alignment gives us
12	greater access in the rear so that we can have an
13	access door.
14	MR. KEHOE: The, the rear of the
15	building is the storage area more so, and the
16	front of the building is the hardware store.
17	MR. TRUITT: Correct.
18	
10	MR. KEHOE: Right.
19	MR. KEHOE: Right.  MR. TRUITT: And, and that additionally,
	_
19	MR. TRUITT: And, and that additionally,
19 20	MR. TRUITT: And, and that additionally, you know, we we're increasing storage, so we want
19 20 21	MR. TRUITT: And, and that additionally, you know, we we're increasing storage, so we want to add storage to storage, rather than storage

be something to think about, you know, as, as you talked to Heike and, and the owner to see. But I, you know, I wouldn't necessarily jump into it right away until we've done a review memo and thought about it a little bit, but those might be some issues about how to rearrange that.

MR. KESSLER: But this is not a marginal wetland we're talking about here.

MR. KEHOE: Well, it connects into Mohegan Lake, you know, over in Yorktown.

MR. MCKINLEY: You mentioned heavy equipment like forklifts. So you've, you've considered that on, on the, on the site though.

MR. TRUITT: Absolutely.

MR. MARINO: Good evening. Steve Marino again. As was pointed out, the, there is an existing path behind the building, which is used for material storage and access to the back of the of the existing warehouse building. So the idea of having the, the building pushed back further into the site is, is also access for a forklift, etc. There'll be a door leading into that building from that pathway around the back,

1	September 5, 2023
2	rather than coming through the maintained lawn
3	and landscape of the front of the building, you'd
4	be able to come straight into that from, from the
5	back lot, from the back storage area, back
б	pathway area back there.
7	MR. KESSLER: And where's the entrance
8	to the storage area?
9	MR. MARINO: The entrance to the new
10	storage will be
11	MR. KESSLER: No, the old, the existing
12	storage area.
13	MR. KEHOE: Well, I think you can, you
14	can come in this way, can't you?
15	MR. MARINO: Yeah, you can come in right
16	through that way. Yeah. And then there is a door
17	further.
18	MR. KEHOE: Is it to the back or
19	MR. MARINO: Just a little, a little
20	down, close to the street there, Chris, along
21	that north right along, right about there,
22	there's access, there's the other access into
23	the, into the building.
24	MR. KESSLER: So you'd be able to go

1	September 5, 2023
2	from one storage area to the other, to the
3	proposed?
4	MR. MARINO: Right. Yeah.
5	MR. DOUGLAS: But you would still be
6	able to do that if you moved it.
7	MR. MARINO: That's a gravel, a gravel
8	pad all the way around that side of the building.
9	Now just as a point of fact, also, this is a DEC
10	wetland, as pointed out, it attaches to Mohegan
11	Lake. DEC, DEC staff has been out to the site
12	just for a first look and we're starting
13	discussions with DEC as well on it.
14	MR. KESSLER: Have they said anything to
15	this point?
16	MR. MARINO: Nothing yet.
17	MR. KESSLER: Nothing yet.
18	MR. MARINO: Sometimes it's hard to get
19	them to commit at first. You know, you have to,
20	but no, nothing yet from them. But they have seen
21	the site, so that's good.
22	MR. KESSLER: But it's definitely
23	something we'll have to do a site visit on. Yeah.
24	MR. KOBASA: There's going to be

1	September 5, 2023
2	forklifts going in this part of the building,
3	right?
4	MR. MARINO: Inside the building, yeah.
5	MR. KOBASA: Inside the building, so
6	MR. TRUITT: Yes, inside and/or
7	accessing along that path in the rear, but
8	primarily inside the building.
9	MR. KOBASA: Okay, so what is the
10	reasoning why you can't go taller to eliminate
11	the footprint and do storage on racks?
12	MR. TRUITT: Well, it's not that we
13	cannot go taller, but maintaining that footprint,
14	you know, ground level storage versus rack
15	storage, my client feels that he needs that
16	minimum footprint to make it viable for him to
17	entertain something like this.
18	MR. KOBASA: What's the difference
19	between it being on the ground versus vertical
20	storage?
21	MR. TRUITT: Weight, all the racking
22	requirements, the dimensional size, if he's I
23	don't know all of the items that he's going in
24	there, but I'm assuming if you're manipulating

	Page 11
1	September 5, 2023
2	large bundles of lumber, you want them lower. I,
3	I could get back to you on the specifics of that
4	if you'd like, but
5	MR. KOBASA: I just want to consider it.
6	I mean, you can go in any big box store and
7	they've got lumber racked pretty high. So you're
8	putting into a wetland, when if he has the option
9	to go vertical, why not be able to go vertical?
10	It's one thing if you're saying it wasn't going
11	to be operated with a forklift, and that's not
12	his intention, but he's going to be using a
13	forklift in there and forklifts can lift very
14	high and you can reduce your footprint by going
15	vertical.
16	MR. TRUITT: Yeah, I certainly follow
17	you
18	MR. KESSLER: And you have a forklift in
19	the current storage area?
20	MR. TRUITT: Yeah.
21	MR. MARINO: But that's a significantly
22	larger building. The aisle ways are larger.
23	There's more room to move around. I mean, there
24	is, there's the size of the forklift and there's
	ii

1	September 5, 2023
2	the size of the forks and there's the material on
3	the end of the forks, obviously.
4	MR. KOBASA: I understand that, yeah.
5	MR. MARINO: And it's, it's all stuff
6	wee will, we hear what you're saying and, and
7	we'll talk about that.
8	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
9	MR. MARINO: You know, they have, they
10	are in the unenviable position of being between
11	Home Depot to the east to the west and Lowe's
12	to the east. And they're trying to maintain their
13	business.
14	MR. KOBASA: No, I understand that.
15	MR. KESSLER: I think the point is it's
16	got to be very compelling to us
17	MR. MARINO: Understood.
18	MR. KESSLER: to, to, you know,
19	infringe upon a very meaningful wetland.
20	MR. MARINO: Understood. And we will go
21	back and see if there are any other options that
22	can be explored.
23	MR. KEHOE: But I wouldn't race back,
24	you know. We'll, we'll put together a review memo

1	September 5, 2023
2	and raise these issues and respond to it.
3	MR. MARINO: There will be no racing.
4	MR. KEHOE: Right.
5	MR. MARINO: No race.
6	MR. KEHOE: But there's no need to,
7	don't spend a lot of time modifying the drawings
8	before there's some comments. But the other issue
9	was the request for a temporary storage building?
10	MR. KESSLER: Oh, that's right.
11	MR. TRUITT: Yes. A temporary tent
12	structure, we've, we've shown two options there.
13	Option A, which would be on the, on the Lexington
14	Avenue side of the building in that, in within
15	the lawn area there. And then option B, within
16	what is also a lawn area along the, the backside
17	of the, the front building. Option B does
18	encroach into the flagged wetland, although that
19	is primarily lawn area.
20	MR. KESSLER: The wetland or the wetland
21	buffer?
22	MR. TRUITT: That dark line is the
23	wetland.
24	MR. KESSLER: Oh, it is buffer, okay.

1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. TRUITT: Both, both of them are
3	within the buffer.
4	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
5	MR. TRUITT: There's sort of no escaping
6	that.
7	MR. KESSLER: And what, what kind of
8	material is this building?
9	MR. TRUITT: Fabric. A temporary metal
10	structure, think of a, a, a tent for an event,
11	that type of thing staked into the ground, no
12	permanence to it. It's intended to essentially
13	buy my client some time to get this more
14	permanent structure.
15	MR. KESSLER: So it goes away once the
16	permanent structure is built.
17	MR. TRUITT: Yeah.
18	MR. KEHOE: I'm trying. I, I thought
19	there were some images of it. And I'm sure there
20	are, but I just can't happen to find them now.
21	MR. TRUITT: There were, there was a
22	section showing it. But it's a very simple tented
23	
24	MR. KEHOE: Oh yeah, hang on, here it

is.

2.0

2.3

2.4

MR. TRUITT: -- structure, it stands about 16 feet tall at its highest point. There you go.

MR. KEHOE: But I, I don't think I, I talked with Haike about this and I know the intent was to separate this from the overall application and see if it could be accelerated. But I discussed this with the code enforcement director and he had some issues with it. And it just strikes me as more of a building. I mean, I -- and, and I'm not saying one's better than the other, but if there was a tent and he rolled some snow blowers under the tent for the month of November, sort of a sidewalk sale.

MR. TRUITT: Mm-hmm.

MR. KEHOE: That was something that I was thinking Heike was asking about. And maybe that would be something that the planning board would be willing to pull out. But this just this -- and this will be part of the review memo. It almost seems like this is very close to touching the permanent addition there. I mean, they're,

2.3

2.4

they line up with one another, so why not make it all one bigger building or, I mean, this almost seems a semi-permanent temporary structure. So it's not staff's recommendation to pull this out and treat it separately.

MR. KESSLER: But you said it does go away once the other building is built.

MR. TRUITT: Yes. What, what we're trying to achieve with this is the expediency of getting my client immediate storage or as close to immediate is, is practical, while we can get a permanent structure aligned.

MR. KESSLER: And are there code issues about putting this in the front?

MR. KEHOE: There was some miscommunication I think at the beginning about a prohibition. I think the, the applicant was considering this a pod, one of those portable storage units. And we have a prohibition against those in the front yard. But a pod is sort of a corporate name. It defines those things. This would not be considered a pod. So in checking with code enforcement, this could exist in the

2.3

2.4

front yard. It's not prohibited. But Martin had concerns about the septic area and its impact on the easement. And he also had concerns, I, I think he was considering it a structure versus a tent, which he needed to talk in further detail with Haike about.

MR. TRUITT: Yeah, I mean, if there are technical aspects to this that we can adjust so that we can conform and make this expedient, we're certainly open to that.

MR. KEHOE: But when you're -- so you define temporary by being up permanently until the other addition is completed?

MR. TRUITT: In, in this situation, yes.

It, you know, we may propose some sort of -- and if it's something has to be renewed every year or six months or whatever the timeframe is that, that you feel is appropriate, but I'm referring really to temporary in terms of the structure.

It's fabric and stakes. It's intended to be taken up and down quickly. There's no permanent foundation, there's no grading associated with it. That's my intention of temporary.

MR. MCKINLEY: What would they store in it and how would they access it? And would it be secure?

MR. TRUITT: So option A would be primarily accessed on the parking lot facing side. There would be a, a fabric door that you would open and close.

MR. MCKINLEY: Okay.

MR. TRUITT: Option B would be accessed from the rear. There's a garage door out of the rear metal building and you would walk across the grass to get at it. Both of these, or either one are intended only for staff, they're not for public and therefore the, again, temporary storage of seasonal items like barbecue grills, wheelbarrows, lawnmowers, things of that nature.

MR. KEHOE: This says asphalt parking area. But isn't this -- is this a grass area?

MR. TRUITT: Yes, that's lawn. And where you've got the cursor is roughly the area of the septic that Martin was concerned about. We would have to look very carefully at option A to ensure that we're not over top of the, the septic fields

1	September 5, 2023
2	there. Option B would be well clear of it.
3	MR. KEHOE: But option B is in the
4	wetland.
5	MR. TRUITT: Yes. Option A encroaches on
6	the easement which you can see that lower line
7	there. Option B does not.
8	MR. MCKINLEY: So currently an existing
9	tree where option A is?
10	MR. TRUITT: Just there's a black walnut
11	tree just off the corner of option A, so it would
12	have to be cited pretty carefully in relation to
13	that, yes.
14	MR. BIANCHI: And what again, what's the
15	flooring in the temporary structure?
16	MR. TRUITT: No floor.
17	MR. BIANCHI: No floor. What's the
18	possibility moving option B further up so it
19	avoids any interference with the wetlands?
20	MR. TRUITT: Further back? Towards the
21	metal frame building?
22	MR. BIANCHI: Towards, yeah.
23	MR. KOBASA: Further east.
24	MR. KEHOE: No, the other way.
	ii

1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. BIANCHI: The other way.
3	MR. MCKINLEY: Toward option A.
4	MR. KOBASA: Down?
5	MR. BIANCHI: No, toward opposite
6	with the metal, metal
7	MR. KOBASA: Plan north.
8	MR. BIANCHI: frame building abuts,
9	abuts it doesn't it?
10	MR. TRUITT: Yep.
11	MR. KEHOE: Well you're talking about
12	moving option B closer to Lexington Avenue?
13	MR. BIANCHI: No, the other way. Is that
14	where the other building is going to be?
15	MR. MARINO: Yeah, the other building is
16	being
17	MR. TRUITT: Yeah.
18	MR. BIANCHI: Abuts it, yeah. I didn't
19	see it on this one, okay.
20	MR. MARINO: Yep. it would be during the
21	construction of the other building, it would
22	create a hindrance. But second to that, that dark
23	line there is a curb and there's some grade
24	change there.

	Dage 12
1	Page 12 September 5, 2023
2	MR. BIANCHI: I, I forgot about the
3	other building on there.
4	MR. KESSLER: That's why you've got to
5	take them together, really.
6	MR. BIANCHI: Yeah.
7	MR. KEHOE: That's our recommendation. I
8	mean we, you know, Martin will have to talk to
9	the architect or maybe you Ben, and figure out
10	something between now and the next meeting. And
11	if he can be convinced at least code wise, that
12	it's possible for you to consider it a temporary
13	structure, he'll let you know. It would still be
14	your call whether you wanted to pull it out or
15	not. But it needs to be reviewed more by staff
16	between now and the next meeting.
17	MR. KESSLER: But to be clear, it sounds
18	like it's a temporary structure in construction,
19	but meant to be there permanently.
20	MR. TRUITT: I permanently meaning
21	once the, the permanent edition is in place, it
22	would remain?
23	MR. KESSLER: Yeah.
24	MR. TRUITT: I no.

1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. KESSLER: I heard you say maybe an
3	annual renewal.
4	MR. TRUITT: Well, I that's in light
5	of, I don't know the timeframe of when we can get
6	approvals, get through the construction process,
7	etc., so
8	MR. KESSLER: I see.
9	MR. TRUITT: So my suggestion was that
10	if you wanted to give us some limitation of
11	having to renew permission for this on three
12	months, six month annual basis
13	MR. KESSLER: I see.
14	MR. TRUITT: whatever it is.
15	MR. KESSLER: So the intent is it will
16	come down once the other permanent edition is
17	completed?
18	MR. TRUITT: Yes.
19	MR. KEHOE: But given the given the
20	way you operate in the building now, it doesn't
21	do you any good to just make the 2,700 square
22	foot building bigger. It doesn't work just to
23	make that one bigger and make the bigger
24	permanent structure.

	Page 13
1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. TRUITT: I, I think the, the
3	direction from Jack, the owners was trying to
4	minimize all of the impact. So this is sort of
5	the minimum square footage that he feels he can
6	do this with. Would we love to get a bigger
7	building? Yes, absolutely. But that, a bigger
8	building would be more impact.
9	MR. KESSLER: Not if it's higher.
10	MR. KEHOE: But I believe the temporary
11	structure is in the wetland.
12	MR. TRUITT: option B would be.
13	MR. KEHOE: Right.
14	MR. TRUITT: Yeah.
15	MR. KEHOE: So if, if it's going with
16	option B, you'd need a wetland permit for that.
17	MR. TRUITT: Yes.
18	MR. KEHOE: And you'd have to go through
19	the entire wetland process to even place it
20	there. So it doesn't seem like that's happening
21	anytime quick.
22	MR. TRUITT: The only comment I would

honestly it's wetland name only because it's

make about that, Chris, is that it's wetland and

23

24

maintained as grass and there's some leaf litter there, but it's not, it's not wet. I'm sure that when Paul Jaehnig flagged that portion of the wetland for the town, he found wetland soils in that area and that's what was flagged. But as it's currently maintained, that area is relatively dry. It's grass, it's maintained essentially, weed whacked and whatever as, as just a way of getting around that side of the building.

MR. KESSLER: So you don't expect there to be any, any flooding?

MR. TRUITT: There's not going to be flooding or anything of that nature, no, there isn't. And I think, I think what they have in mind with this building, I don't know if you guys have ever been to Harbor Freight. It's one of my favorite places to go. They have these metal frame buildings. It's basically four or five arches of metal pipe and then they wrap a, a canvas cloth over it. And there's a zipper door on one end that you go in and out of. I mean, that's the kind of building we're talking about.

2.3

It's not, it may look almost like a Quonset hut or a, or a metal building on, on the drawing as it is there now. But it's essentially a, a canvas building, a canvas frame -- a metal frame with a canvas wrapping around it. So I, I'm certain it's an easy, an easy material to take down, to put up and certainly would just be temporary as far as And how do you secure that?

MR. TRUITT: They're staked into the ground. There's, you know, you, the, the kit comes with a, a nine inch metal nail, essentially a stake, and you stake it into the ground and there are ways of anchoring it there. It comes with ropes. You can, there's these twisty curly Q things that you twist into the ground and you tie it off to, to that.

MR. KESSLER: But how are you protected from theft?

MR. TRUITT: That would be an issue, a security issue for the owners to deal with.

That's -- it's not the most, I had one in my yard for a number of years. It's not the most secure structure in the world for sure.

	Page 13
1	September 5, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: So the, the, the ask was to
3	approve this tonight and the response is that it
4	can't be approved tonight. So we'll refer it back
5	and maybe based on discussions with Martin and
6	Heike and, and Joe and Mike Preziosi, maybe
7	there's a way to get it back in front of the
8	board in October for further discussion. But at
9	this point it needs a little more review.
10	MR. TRUITT: Yeah. We would certainly be
11	appreciative of anything you can do.
12	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Any more comments?
13	If not, Mr. Douglas?
14	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. I make a motion that
15	we refer on case number 2023-6, that we refer it
16	back to staff.
17	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
18	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
19	MS. HILDINGER: Second.
20	MR. KESSLER: On the question? All in
21	favor?
22	MULTIPLE: Aye.

## Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

23

24

Kobasa?

MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Alright, Mr.

1	Page 13 September 5, 2023
2	MR. KOBASA: All right, the time is
3	8:23, the meeting is adjourned.
4	MR. KESSLER: Thank you, sir.
5	(The public board meeting concluded at 8:23.)

## CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Ryan Manaloto, certify that the foregoing transcript of the Planning Board Meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on September 5, 2023 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

philos

Date: September 18, 2023

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669

New York, NY 10003